



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_8008
Title of the Manuscript:	Eye Movements In Congenital Neurosensorial Deaf subjctcs As Assessed By 2D video-Oculography™
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments This article should be accepted	This brief research communication is very neat. Non experts interested in neural deafness would appreciate perhaps to know what was the proportion of left handed persons in the patients studied that improved significantly in Eye Movements	Regrettably I have not collected this information at the time of the preliminary examination of the sample. Since I have not found right/left handedness reported in the revised literature about visual impairment in deaf children (see for example Armitage et al "Visual Impairment in severe and profound sensorineural deafness", Arch Dis Child, 1995; 73:53-56" or Stockwell E. "Visual defects in the deaf child", JAMA Arch Ophthalmol, 1952; 48: 428-432), I have erroneously considered this aspect not a material point. The flaw has been highlighted in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		