



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_8008
Title of the Manuscript:	Eye Movements In Congenital Neurosensorial Deaf subjctcs As Assessed By 2D video-Oculography™
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1-The title of article claims evaluation of the effect of hearing deprivation on (ocular movements), while only two kinds of movements, FEMs, SEMs, are evaluated. There are several other voluntary and involuntary movements of the eye that article has no impression about them. It is better (ocular movements) changed to those movements which are tested with video-oculography. 2-Sample size is rather small for this kind of study; however the study as a pilot is acceptable. 3-Although the article is a short one, I feel it is so compact that general audience may have some problem to be exactly oriented to author's goal. If not opposed to journal strategy some more explanation about deprivation mechanism and oculography may be helpful.	1- the title has been changed according to the suggestion of reviewer 2 in: FEMs And SEMs In Congenital Neurosensory Deaf Subjects As Assessed By 2D video-Oculography™ 3- Some more explanations have been provided in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		