



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_8366
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined Trabeculectomy with beta irradiation with and without intravitreal bevacizumab for neovascular glaucoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The major flaws of the manuscript include: 1) The follow -up period is too short. 2) There are plenty room for improvement in this manuscript, including English writing and presentation of methodology and results. 3) More detailed discussion is needed.	Mean follow-up was 18 months (6–36 months) Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors evaluated additive IVB for trabeculectomy and beta-irradiation in eyes with neovascular glaucoma. Unfortunately the manuscript does not reach the level for publication in the journal.	Some reviewers said it is good