



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_8366
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined Trabeculectomy with beta irradiation with and without intravitreal bevacizumab for neovascular glaucoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The lack of Institutional Ethic Committee approval as well as of the Informed Consent make the study not suitable for publication	It was approved and consent taken
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The lack of registration at one of the Clinical Trials Sites makes the paper not suitable for publication	We have the approvals from the ophthalmology department council, then the faculty of medicine ethic committee, then the faculty of medicine council and then university council . all these committee approved such as study
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is interesting and well written, but the above mentioned critical point makes it not suitable for publication in its present form.	