



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_9256
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Visual Impairment among deaf and mute schools Children
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper is interesting. But it needs revision. # P2 L17 How was the grade of the hearing loss of the students? Mild, moderate, severe, scale out? Are there any data of audiometry ? What type were their hearing loss? Conductive hearing loss? Sensorineural hearing loss? What was the cause of hearing loss? Malformation? Congenital? Acquired? Virus-induced? The severity of hearing loss is very important factor. #P4 L5 "Hearing-impaired..... eye attention." is cited as the same sentences of ref 3. Please cite the references in text. #P5 L16 Recommendations should be in the discussion section. # The content of Table 1 and Table 2 should be inserted in the methods and results section. These tables are not necessary. # Table 4	# P2L17 The study was concerned with ophthalmic evaluation only. It doesn't include data about audiometry, the type or the cause of hearing loss. # P4L5 the ref is 3 and I will cite it. #P5L16 I put the recommendations in the discussion section and highlight them. # O.K I removed table 1 and 2. I put the total number of male and female students in the result section , line 1 and I inserted the other 2 tables in the results and discussion sections. # In table 4 fundus abnormalities and Motility disorders (strabismus) are mentioned in the detailed highlighted part of the discussion section (as much as their studies mentioned).



SDI Review Form 1.6

	"Fundus" and "Mortality" need explanations.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		