



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_9533
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-surgical management of bilateral ectropion in a 5 hours old Collodion baby- a case report
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I suggest them to cite a recent similar study. Cingü AK, Sahin A, Yüksel H, Ozkök A, Arı S, Caça I. Congenital bilateral upper eyelid eversion: report of a case. Semin Ophthalmol. 2014 Jan;29(1):36-8. doi: 10.3109/08820538.2013.821499. Epub 2013 Sep 27.	DONE
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 32 "tarssorrhaphy" should be corrected as "tarsorrhaphy" Line 32 "subconjunctiva" should be corrected as " subconjunctival"	DONE DONE
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I read the article entitled "Non-surgical management of bilateral ectropion in a 5 hours old Collodion baby- a case report" the manuscript is well written, and its language is good. I have no comment to the authors. However i suggest them to cite a recent similar study. Cingü AK, Sahin A, Yüksel H, Ozkök A, Arı S, Caça I. Congenital bilateral upper eyelid eversion: report of a case. Semin Ophthalmol. 2014 Jan;29(1):36-8. doi: 10.3109/08820538.2013.821499. Epub 2013 Sep 27.	DONE