



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_9904
Title of the Manuscript:	Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Lacrimal Sac
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The description of surgery in the case report suggests that the lacrimal sac SCC has not been excised using the standard principals of resection in head and neck SCCs. The margins of resection have not been described in the Histopathology report. The photograph of the specimen suggests margins will be positive and if it is so, the margins should have been revised and also the need for an adjuvant treatment should be considered. The description given suggests enucleation which is not the standard of care when you have a frozen section diagnosis of SCC. MR images should be included in the manuscript. So, in my opinion, the methods should be re-written.	1-2. We explained the possible process of the operation and the results to the patient. But the patient did not accept such an aggressive therapy modality because the survival time was not important for her. We also want to declare this case to you because of not having any local or distance metastasis during follow-up. 3.MR images were included in the study
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some grammatical mistakes which I suppose the authors will correct in final version.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None. According to me, the excision of a squamous cell carcinoma of lacrimal sac was not after following oncological principals of resection as it should be in case of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas	