



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_11816
Title of the Manuscript:	Diplopia and strabismus in diabetics (Type II) and non diabetics in Yazd, Iran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. There is a statistical difference in the mean age between the two groups, yet the authors neglect to address this issue. Let's say the results show a statistical difference in the incidence of diplopia and strabismus, then who is to say it is due to DM or the age? We won't know what caused such difference in the results. Likewise, how do we know if the results will remain statistically insignificant if patients with similar age were used in the experiment instead? I'm not sure how Table 2 helps resolve this issue; it is only analysing the statistical difference in the age between patients with diplopia and without diplopia. If it helps resolve the issue, it needs to be presented better. Also the authors do not discuss the outcome of the table 2. What is the interpretation of table 2? 2. Still too many grammatical errors (e.g., random capitalizations and incorrect use of passive voice). 3. When the authors write "Patients with congenital strabismus or diplopia excluded," it sounds like all the patients who report diplopia were excluded from the study. If they are referring to "congenital strabismus and congenital diplopia" they probably want to specify it. However, "congenital diplopia" is rarely used, I'm not sure they want to use the words.	1. In overall, the mean age of patients with and without diplopia was 45 ± 13.11 and 48.70 ± 17.09 respectively without statistically differences (p value= 0.346). Also the mean age of patients with and without strabismus was 46.5 ± 11.72 and 48.69 ± 17.09 respectively without statistically differences (p value= 0.608). The mean age of diabetic and non-diabetic patients with diplopia was 51 ± 13.26 and 42.23 ± 12.58 respectively, without statistically differences (p-value= 0.18). Also the mean age of diabetic and non-diabetic patients with strabismus was 51 ± 13.26 and 43.8 ± 10.47 respectively, without statistically differences (p-value= 0.24). Therefore we have ignored the role of age in frequency of diplopia and strabismus in two groups. We don't know statistical difference in the incidence of diplopia and strabismus, due to DM Or the age, because we don't study the role of some factors such as DM or the age on diplopia and strabismus. We just have determined the frequency of diplopia and strabismus in two groups. It needs to do another study to determine the role of some factors on diplopia and Strabismus. 2. Grammatical errors are corrected. 3. The sentence is corrected: "In our study a few patients had congenital strabismus that was excluded from the study".