



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_12301
Title of the Manuscript:	Topical steroids, HIV status, CD4 cells and corneal health
Type of the Article	Case Study

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Although many of the grammatical errors have been corrected by the authors which improve the manuscript flow, no additional text has been written to support the findings and hypothesis.	More text added
No additional references were used or cited for discussion of the hypothesis - this was a major criticism and must be addressed for successful publication quality.	Additional references added
Arrows were added to the photographs but there was no clear description for them.	Description now given
I am still not sure if the treatment was created by the author or is standard in the clinic.	The treatment is not novel. However the outcome showed that Guderson flap might suffice, instead of evisceration as might be contemplated in our setting here, in a situation of corneal perforation with a huge uveal prolapse even in an immunocompromised subject.