



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7034
Title of the Manuscript:	The Patient's Viewpoint of Cataract and Detached Retina Surgeries

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors revised well. However, I wonder this manuscript is suitable for the scientific journal. For examples, presentation in chronological order and too long words are unusual in scientific journals	We agree that this scientific paper is unusual, because few scientific papers are written from the perspective of the patient. This paper is also unique in its discussion of interactions between ophthalmologists, optometrists, scientists and patients. This research is original. In fact, we have not seen figures like these anywhere. And the science in this paper is correct. This original work contributes (1) the details of a complex case study allowing the reader to diagnose complications resulting from cataract and detached retina surgeries, (2) good and bad aspects of communications between patients, optometrists, ophthalmologists and systems engineers and (3) actual patient viewpoints during detaching retinas.