



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7213
Title of the Manuscript:	A Safe, Efficacious and Cost Effective Way of Delivering SubtenonsAnaesthesia During Cataract Surgery

From Professor Ayman Alkawas

PART 2:

EDITOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to Editor's comments
The following points needs revision: 1- The section on the results and discussion should be separated 2- The section on the results is not well-written and needs revision 3- Line 95 : this paragraph needs revision (The mean VAP score for delivery of anaesthetic and duration of surgery was 0 in both cases. 100% of patients felt no pain during surgery. 98% of patients gave a VAP score of 0 and 2% a score of 1 for delivery of Sub-Tenon's anaesthesia (mean 0.02, or effectively 0).). What do mean by both cases?? The results here in this papagraph are not in agreement (100% of patients felt no pain during surgery. 98% of patients gave a VAP score of 0 and 2% a score) 4- Line 121: correct this (Therisks of using) 5- Line 149: The language of this needs revision (The results indicate that the prescribed criteria and standards were being met in terms of)	Thank you for the constructive feedback. Changes have been made on all fronts suggested including: 1. Separating the results section from the discussion 2. Rewriting the result section as suggested 3. Revision done to Line 95 to present findings in a succinct and clearer way 4. Minor language corrections done throughout the document including line 121 and 149