



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7224
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlating patents and peer review papers for diabetic cataract: Elements of a comprehensive knowledge base

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Because of the propriety nature of the database, which prevents use by any readers and independent verification of the authors' results, and the retrospective review to an era when internet was not available. I had several concerns, which the authors have adequately addressed though because of the above reasons have not been able to fix. That being said, if the editors and other reviewers believe that this manuscript is worthy of publication then I think the authors have improved it as much as possible.	We have added the following two sentences to the discussion: "We are also aware that the current lack of external access to our high-content patent database complicates independent verification of our results. However, all raw data on which it is being assembled are available by downloading the cited patent documents from the PatentScope and Espacenet databases which are freely accessible at the World Intellectual Property Office and the European Patent Office, respectively."