

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Fwd: Sub: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013_OR_7224

I agree with reviewer 2, MS, in that this paper does not deserve publication for the reasons he mentioned. I will explain my comment to the authors in my response to them.

Response to the authors

In your response to reviewer 2, you mentioned that (THIRDSPACE is not yet ready for public access (new lines 323-325), and right now there is nothing we can do about that beyond working on it. We do plan to make it accessible in due course. - Rules for patent searches in public databases vary with the database, and would have been beyond the scope of this paper).

This explains my comment (Accessing these databases is not available). In contrast to this, (published papers are reviewed by experts in the field and are readily accessible). I agree with the second reviewer who mentioned that (Because of the propriety nature of the database, which prevents use by any readers and independent verification of the authors' results, and the retrospective review to an era when internet was not available, I originally recommended that this paper be rejected)

For these reasons, I think that this paper is not accepted for publication.

Editor's Details:

Ayman Ahmed Alkawas

Department of Ophthalmology, Zagazig University, Egypt

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.