



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_12466
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative study of Pterygium excision using autologous blood versus sutures; A study from remote eastern Bihar, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- Please clarify the the consent and the approval of the study.</p> <p>2- 2-Please clarify in details the inclusion and exclusion criteria.</p> <p>3- 3-Please mention the reference of the pterygium grades.</p> <p>4-Please consider in details the version used in statistical analysis.</p> <p>4- Please explain in details the criteria of surgical success.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	1- Please consider the one month follow up as a limitation for the study in the discussion.	
Optional/General comments	Some typographical and language errors should be corrected.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer