SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_OR_7213**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Safe, Efficacious and Cost Effective Way of Delivering SubtenonsAnaesthesia During Cataract Surgery**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The current article makes a strong point in favor of using 2ml of 2% lignocaine with 1:200000 adrenaline administered in the Sub-Tenon`s space instead of using a regime of 2% bupivacaine+2% lignocaine+hyaluronidase. The savings per case operated estimated at £8,94 are quite substantial. The methods eployed, the literature revised as well as the discussion are overall quite adequate and to the point.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer, Universidade de São Paulo Medical School, Brazil