



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_8366
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined Trabeculectomy with beta irradiation with and without intravitreal bevacizumab for neovascular glaucoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) You should write that some ethics committees have approved the study. 2) You should write the statistical analysis methods and p-value in the method and results sections. 6) Your manuscript is very interesting, and I think the operation method is very useful for the treatment of neovascular glaucoma. However, the writing style needs improvement, especially the discussion. If you take more care to write the manuscript, it will impress a great deal of readers. For example, the spelling, "Siato" is incorrect. Please check the spelling.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) There are many errors and omissions, such as in line 14, "rabeculectomyand". I think the word should be "trabeculectomy and". Please check the details. 2) I think that table 1 is unnecessary. Content such as tables should be included in the results section. 3) You should write the unit in figure 2.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Author should write that some ethics committees have approved the study.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer