



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_11816
Title of the Manuscript:	Diplopia and strabismus in diabetics (Type II) and non diabetics in Yazd, Iran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The data are divided into two comparable groups with a single disagreement with age group. There could be some bias regarding the differences in ages, however the goal of the study is to characterize the occurrence of strabismus and diplopia among diabetic patients which would not have need for a control group. The statistical tests are consistent with the proposed study and the conclusion is in accordance with the results, but it would be interesting explanation is necessary because larger studies because the patient population is fairly representative and what are the factors of disturbance. The references are relevant to the study, however it would be interesting to make them more current. Would be interesting to add as appendix the questionnaire conducted to further clarify the reader.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Francisco de Sales Chagas
Department, University & Country	Department of Surgery. School of Medicine. Catholic University Campinas, Brazil