



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7224
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlating patents and peer review papers for diabetic cataract: Elements of a comprehensive knowledge base
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title of the manuscript does not make clear what this research is about. Besides, the term "correlation" is used here in an inappropriate manner. I would suggest changing the title so that it's evident that the paper examines if patent documents can provide earlier dissemination of knowledge compared to peer review papers.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some syntax errors need to be corrected (eg lines 77-78)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer