SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	**2014_OR_12038**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Comparison of Accommodation Amplitudes of Three Different Acrylic Intraocular Lenses**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Please explain how the objective, dynamic measurement technique with R mode of Plusoptix CR03 device to measure accommodation? Perhaps a summary description from manufactory with picture? Please give more discussion on possible lens design reasons attribute the outcome of this study.	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer