



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7034
Title of the Manuscript:	The Patient's Viewpoint of Cataract and Detached Retina Surgeries
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an interesting paper providing a patient's perspective of a series of medical adventures and misadventures. Many readers who are not ophthalmologists who care for the conditions the author suffered might make the assumption that the doctors were careless, distracted or negligent. What would greatly enhance this paper would be some physician commentary throughout the paper. Not commenting on everything, but in sections where "an opposing view" would be useful. The patient suffered some unusual and uncommon side effects and a medical explanation (brief) would enhance the paper. This is not to defend any physician actions or decisions, but to present the thinking of the physician. For example, a retina surgeon seeing 6 patients per hour is the norm and most in private practice see up to 10 per hour. That's the reality of being a small businessman. Academic physicians are salaried and may be able to see one patient per hour, but even that is the exception rather than the rule. Likewise face-to-face communication for each patient is impossible with physicians seeing 500+ patients per week and none in the same location. I don't even have face to face communication with my partners other than partnership meetings as we are all in different offices and locations during the work week.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	none	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	What would make this paper much more interesting would be physician comments sprinkled throughout the paper.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Brian C Joondeph
Department, University & Country	Colorado Retina Associates, PC, Denver, CO USA