



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7042
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical outcome of exotropic patients' management; one year follow-up
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	According to me, the paper has some major and important points to be discussed. Different types of exotropia are included. Nevertheless these types have different signs, different functional parameters and different outcomes after surgery. They should not be analyzed all together. As example, infantile exotropia after surgery has usually different outcomes compared to traumatic monolateral exotropia. Sensorial evaluation is not analyzed (long term stability of ocular alignment is related to binocular cooperation) Authors include diplopia as a complication after surgery. In how many cases it was present also before surgery? In small children diplopia in exotropia is usually not present, due to suppression Follow-up is rather short to indicate postoperative success rate. It should be longer (at least 3-5 years) Different surgical approaches are analyzed, but it is not defined which approach is preferred in which clinical conditions	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Concerning the surgeries, the number of patients who underwent LR bilateral recession is unbalanced compared to other approaches.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer