



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7008
Title of the Manuscript:	Multimodal Views of the Human Retina
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) The objective of this paper is to “show the relationships between subject-reported measures of vision, the view through an ophthalmoscope, the view through a slit lamp, a photograph of a face, optical coherence tomography (OCT) images, fundus photos, visual field diagrams and Optomap images”. In order to actually establish this relationship, the sample size should be more than one patient. 2) It is not clear to me what the purpose of the visual field diagram is for patient care. 3) There are components of the results in the methods section and should be reorganized so that the methods section only describes what exams/tests were done. 4) In the abstract, the results section refers to figures. It would be helpful to summarize the results instead of referencing the figures. 5) Line 59: “Techniques” should not be capitalized. 6) The analogy in lines 221-222 is not scientific	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer