



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_12301
Title of the Manuscript:	Topical steroids, HIV status, CD4 cells and corneal health- a case report
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	none	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1.Conclusion should be added below discussion</p> <p>2.A structure abstract composed of 3 parts (aim, presentation of case, conclusion)is advised.</p> <p>3.A part called Consent should be added at the end of the manuscript to announce consent was taken from the parents of the children for publication of the case.</p> <p>4.Usually, there is a part called Authors' contributions at the beginning of the paper to declare each author's contribution to the work.</p> <p>Line 72-75, illustrations are advised to be list separately.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript reported a case which indicates that depletion of CD4 cells caused by HIV might make cornea more susceptible to steroid effects. It is a valuable report and I think it is basically acceptable as an article in Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal. However, the points in <i>Minor RVISION comments</i> have to be revised before publication.</p>	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer