



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_10095
Title of the Manuscript:	Visual outcome versus vision satisfaction after intravitreal Bevasizumb injection in diabetic retinopathy.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	An information about HgA1c of patients is needed? Was the connection between A1c and the visual acuity checked? Any information about the macular thickness. Was an OCT done? What was the patients macular thickness before and after injections and the correlation with VA and satisfaction.	
Minor REVISION comments	Many typos should be corrected	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Otzem Chassid
Department, University & Country	Department of Ophthalmology, Bar Ilan University Israel