SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_OR_9533**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Non-surgical management of bilateral ectropion in a 5 hours old Collodion baby- a case report**
Type of the Article	**Case Study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	I suggest them to cite a recent similar study. Cingü AK, Sahin A, Yüksel H, Ozkök A, Arı S, Caça I. Congenital bilateral upper eyelid eversion: report of a case. Semin Ophthalmol. 2014 Jan;29(1):36-8. doi: 10.3109/08820538.2013.821499. Epub 2013 Sep 27.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 32 "tarssorrhaphy" should be corrected as "tarsorrhaphy" Line 32 "subconjunctiva" should be corrected as " subconjunctival"	
Optional/General comments	I read the article entitled "Non-surgical management of bilateral ectropion in a 5 hours old Collodion baby- a case report" the manuscript is well written, and its language is good. I have no comment to the authors. However ı suggest them to cite a recent similar study. Cingü AK, Sahin A, Yüksel H, Ozkök A, Arı S, Caça I. Congenital bilateral upper eyelid eversion: report of a case. Semin Ophthalmol. 2014 Jan;29(1):36-8. doi: 10.3109/08820538.2013.821499. Epub 2013 Sep 27.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer