



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_8008
Title of the Manuscript:	Eye Movements In Congenital Neurosensorial Deaf subjctcs As Assessed By 2D video-Oculography™
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	#In aims of abstract. What is abbreviation of FEMs? Fast eye movement? #In conclusion of abstract. "cocleovesibular" is typo of "cochleovestibular"? #L47. 50 samples per seconds is too small sampling rate to evaluate to velocity of saccadic eye movements. #L53-54 Target does not move in a constant velocity but in a sinusoidal manner? What is short phase velocity? It needs detailed explanation. #L63 SEM amplitude is difficult to understand. It needs detailed explanation. To evaluate slow eye movement, pursuit eye movement velocity is a common parameter. #L69 Velocity of saccadic eye movement cannot be correctly examined in a sampling rate of 50	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	sampling per sec. Which eye is monitored to calculate? # In references. References do not follow author's guideline.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer