



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_17702
Title of the Manuscript:	Fashioned 25G Cannula for Injection of Heavy Liquid during 23G Vitrectomy for Primary Simple Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Was informed consent given for this prospective study? 2. When were the surgeries performed? Lines 54 and 77 contradict each other. 3. Table 1 does not add to the manuscript and should be omitted. 4. Line 126: without cutting off in any cases. Ethical issues -: Was informed consent obtained?	Detailed informed consent was definitely obtained from all cases. The surgeries were performed between April 2012 and December 2012.... The other date is a typing error. Table 1 is removed Edited to any case instead of all cases
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This should be rewritten with the help of an English speaking editor.	