SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_OR_17749**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A clinical sign for aiding the diagnosis of unilateral orbital fat herniation**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Statements made in the introduction (lines 11-17) require citation. As an example, "SFH has been mistaken for Christmas [...] tumours or cysts" needs a citation.**	A reference has been added for this statement [McNab 1999]
Minor REVISION comments	**Line 31, *pupillary* abnormalities?**	Thank you. This has been corrected
Optional/General comments		