



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_17749
Title of the Manuscript:	A clinical sign for aiding the diagnosis of unilateral orbital fat herniation
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	it would be interesting updating the bibliography with more current articles. The discussion deserves more attention in the therapeutic indication and because this case was decided only monitoring.	Thank you for this suggestion. We feel that this case report is specifically about diagnosing this condition using the useful clinical sign of asymmetrical herniation, rather than being about the treatment of this condition. We have added to the methods that the patient declined surgical treatment.
Minor REVISION comments	The abbreviations must be quoted before being used in the text	This has been quality
Optional/General comments	Good quality figures	