



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_17879
Title of the Manuscript:	Peculiar case of orbital emphysema caused by high-pressure water jet
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Very well written, short report of a less frequently observed case. At line 40, authors should use either trademark over the name of Augmentin or replace it with generic name of drug combination. Except this, no other changes required.	We would like to thank the reviewer for the comments. Amended line 43, page 3.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If authors feel in the interest of readers, could include in their article the anatomy of the region, role of the orbital septum, choroid and particular space involved in emphysema.	We would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.