



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_17953
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF RETINAL NERVE FIBERS LAYER THICKNESS AND MACULAR VOLUME BY OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY IN PATIENTS WITH SCHIZOPHRENIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	-	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In methods, abbreviation of BCVA is not explained, although it is a well-known acronym.</p> <p>A normal database is known using OCT SPECTRALIS. Why was it necessary to create an own normal database?</p> <p>The reference list is not unified in its format.</p>	<p><u>We agree. We have incorporated the definition in the revised MS.</u></p> <p><u>We did not create a normative database, we explained the OCT operating mode and how it gets / compares values so that both ophthalmologists and psychiatrists are able to understand this study. If the reviewer does not think this is clear in the paper, we will be happy to rephrase it.</u></p> <p><u>We agree. We have corrected the reference list in the revised MS.</u></p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Very interesting, although a bit long introduction.	<p><u>The introduction is indeed a bit long, stemming from the fact that the paper incorporates two medical specialties, ophthalmology and psychiatry.</u></p> <p><u>We thank the reviewer for the comment.</u></p>

Formatted Table

Formatted: Normal



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	It is an excellent, well-written and logical paper based on results of a collaboration of an ophthalmological and a psychiatry departments.	
--	---	--