



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b> |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_BJMMR_18668</b>                                          |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Conjunctival Histology in Long-Standing Esotropia</b>       |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Case Study</b>                                              |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                          | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>                                        |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <b>Please identify the clinical and demographic characteristics for the cases involved.</b> | Thank you for your comments<br><br>The 3 patients are Hispanic and had esotropia of 50 PD or more. The visual acuities were added as well as the specifics of the esotropia of each patient (age, sex)<br><br>(Highlighted) |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | Discussion is not sufficient                                                                | The discussion has some additions with more comments on the occurrence of a tight conjunctiva in long-standing esotropia<br><br>(Highlighted)                                                                               |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | Some typographical errors should be corrected                                               | Typographical errors corrected                                                                                                                                                                                              |