



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_18668
Title of the Manuscript:	Conjunctival Histology in Long-Standing Esotropia
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 81-96 consists of consent declaration notes-include in methods/material section 2. Reference style not as par with ICMJE recommendations-change 3. Authors'- explain regarding significance of conjunctival histology in relation to PD correction -Is it conjunctiva scarring leading to restrictive movements -Is it tight lamina propria that causes restriction 4. lamina propia –or lamina propria-correct	Thank you for your comments The consent declaration has been changed to the material/methods section (Highlighted) Reference style has been changed (Highlighted) The relationship between the tight conjunctiva and PD correction is explained in discussion and there are references to support it. No scarring, just tight lamina propria. It is “ propria” (Highlighted)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		