



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_18637
Title of the Manuscript:	Ocular manifestations in Rheumatoid Arthritis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Title: the first word for manifestations should be in caps lock. 2) Abstract: This is not a prospective study. This is a cross-sectional study. 3) Abstract: Please, for how long they had the diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis. 4) Abstract: Explain the use of medications for rheumatoid arthritis. 5) Abstract: "Keratoconjunctivitis sicca, episcleritis and scleritis are ocular manifestations than occur in rheumatoid arthritis." Not make sense, than should be	We agree. We have revised We agree. Apologize. We have written incorrectly This is cross-sectional study. We have revised Thank you It is explained (see Results) We have revised. We have explain the use of medications for rheumatoid arthritis (see Material and Methods) We agree. We have revised



SDI Review Form 1.6

	that??? Review English. 6) Introduction: There are no references for any sentence in the introduction section. If you are writing one introduction you have to include references for all sentences. 7) Introduction: “the cause of morbidity in these patients.” Not make sense, again, please review the English. 8) I have not seen any new purpose in your study. You also mentioned that these ocular manifestations are well reported in the literature, so what do you have new in this study???? 9) Material and Methods: Explain the criteria: “All patients fulfill the criteria of American	We agree. We revised We revised We thank the reviewer for the comment We thank the reviewer for the comment (see reference)
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	College of Rheumatology and the European League against Rheumatism for the diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis (1).” Don’t think that all readers will know the criteria. 10) Material and Methods: rewrite. Wrong English approach: “The patients in this study were recruited from from a university hospital center and outpatient consultations in the Rheumatology clinic at the University Hospital Center Mother Teresa in Tirana, Albania.” 11) Material and Methods: from line 38 to 47: Please better characterize the Schirmer test and the diagnostic way for scleritis and	We agree. We have revised We agree. We have revised
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	episcleritis. What did you see in your clinical evaluation? Don't just report that the diagnostic was clinical. 12) Results section: I would add more information about the ophthalmological examination such as visual acuity, cup disc ratio, information about BUT (Break up time) and also information about the background disease such as type of systemic medication, topic medication, age of diagnosis, race etc. And What about the past ocular history, any surgery, any treatment for dry eye, any plug introduction etc???? You poorly described your results.	We agree. We have revised We thank the reviewer for the comment We have revised
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	13) Discussion: The discussion section is poorly described. You don't make any hypothesis or explain your results. You don't have to just report the results. You have to make any possibly hypothesis and formulate new ideas. 14) You have several limitations such as lack of clinical information, small sample size, etc... Please report all of them 15) Table 2: A lot of words not written in English such as totali, grupi etc.... Review the words in all the table. 16) Conclusion: only three manifestations and you can say: "wide spectrum"????? And also, than in line 108 should be that.	We have revised We have revised We have revised
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Ethical issue: I have not seen any ethical issues.	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript tries to describe ocular manifestations in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and correlate with rheumatoid factor and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide. These correlation has already been reported in the literature and there is nothing new or innovator. The manuscript is poorly English written and poorly discussed in the discussion methods. I do not see any important reference and not even at least one reference cited in the introduction section. I really advice to improve this manuscript.	We thank the reviewer for the comment