



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_18637
Title of the Manuscript:	Ocular manifestations in Rheumatoid Arthritis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript analyze a very small sample of patients and in a very poor way (only two markers, and only evaluation of sjogren, with no data parametric observation on shirmer test or BUT, neither lissamine green or bengale rose coloration; moreover episcleritis is only clinical or observational).	We thank the reviewer for the comment We chose the Schirmer test even though the Schirmer test is used as one of the six major criteria for Sjögren's syndrome diagnosis based on the American-European Consensus Criteria for Sjögren's syndrome. We have revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some misspelled and typing errors (Grupi 1) must be corrected, review of the literature must be widen in consideration of the importance of immunological impact of rheumatoid arthritis on uvea and conjunctiva. May be interesting also knowledge of the evolution of blood parameters and clinical signs during the natural history of the disease.	We agree. We have revised
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Interesting topic but must to be better explained discussing and confronting data with literature	Thank you for your supportive comments and suggestions . We have revised