



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                  |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2015_OR_18637                                                    |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Ocular manifestations in Rheumatoid Arthritis                    |
| Type of the Article      | <i>Original clinical article</i>                                 |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>I have the following concerns;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Title: appropriate</li> <li>- Abstract: appropriate</li> <li>- Introduction: Too short for an original clinical article. The authors should mention about the previous studies related to ocular manifestations of rheumatoid arthritis, as well the contribution of their study to the known literature. There should be some cited references here.</li> <li>- Methods: There should be more explanation about patient characteristics (severity of their disease, treatment, associated disorders, etc.).</li> <li>- Methods: since it is a prospective study, you should take patients' informed consent and ethics committee approval.</li> <li>- Results: The number of the studied parameters should be increased.</li> <li>- Discussion: The authors should discuss their results with the similar studies in the literature.</li> </ul> <p>Ethical approval: Yes. No mention about the ethics committee approval.</p> | <p><b>Introduction:</b><br/><b>We agree. We revised</b></p> <p><b>Methods :</b><br/><b>We agree. We revised</b></p> <p><b>This is cross-sectional study Apologize.</b><br/><b>We have written incorrectly</b></p> <p><b>Discussion :</b><br/><b>Thank you.</b><br/><b>I think that the results of the study were discussed with those of other authors.</b><br/><b>However we have revised</b></p> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                      |                                              |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   |                                                                                                                                                      |                                              |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments | This study adds nothing new to the known literature. It is not well-written and not well-organised. It is a very basic study, it should be improved. | <b>We thank the reviewer for the comment</b> |