



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_19236
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Tear Secretion in Thyroid Patients in Relation to the Thyroid Status and Previous I131 Treatment for Thyroid Cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The clinical signs of thyroid ophthalmopathy should be reported by the authors...for example did they measure proptosis, clinical activity scor, Palpebral fissure width 2. It is interesting that OSDI scores are normal in all patients , but there are low Schirmer scores...Could the authors explain this dilemma could they give the the OSDI scores...	I would like to thank the reviewer for his/her substantial comments. 1. Examination protocol for detecting signs of thyroid ophthalmopathy has been included in “material & methods” section as reviewer recommended 2. OSDI scores have been included in “material & methods” section
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		