



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_20285
Title of the Manuscript:	RASAYANA PILLS IN CATARACT- AN INTEGRATION OF ANCIENT KNOWLEDGE AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCES
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Please clarify exactly the internet sources, time interval of the collected data, and its classification. 2- Please add a table to the result section. 3- Please add a figure to the material section. 4- Please condense the types of RASAYANA.	1. This manuscript has been prepared in last 6 months by collecting the data from different ayurvedic hakims/vaid/physicians and different ayurvedic libraries and internet. 2. Modified as suggested 3. Modified as suggested 4. Authors clarify that material under this heading is not the type of rasayana rather authors have pinpointed those rasayana that are directly applicable in cataract.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Discussion is insufficient.	Modified as suggested
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some typographical errors should be corrected.	Modified as suggested