



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_19799
Title of the Manuscript:	Orbital blowout fracture developing during nose blowing
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Did you advice any pain-killer, NSAIDs or antibiotics for therapy and prevention of complications in such a case? Discussion, line 57-58: Is it the first report about fractures at the ethmoid bone after nose blowing? If not, please give reference.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gabor Nemeth</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Ophthalmology, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary</i>