



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_17879
Title of the Manuscript:	Peculiar case of orbital emphysema caused by high-pressure water jet
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1, Recently three principal mechanisms have been proposed to explain the ethology of blowout fracture: the globe to wall contact, hydraulic and buckling mechanism. Author should describe about the globe to wall contact mechanism. 2, There are few reports of the patients with orbital fractures due to pure buckling mechanism. Author should refer to these cases.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Did the patient nose blowing after injury, or not? Author should explain that.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper is very interesting about the rare case whose orbital fracture and orbital emphysema due to water jet flashing to the lower portion of the orbital rim.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Tokyo Medical University Hachioji Medical Center, Japan