



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_17658
Title of the Manuscript:	Collagen matrix implant (Ologen)™ in glaucoma surgeries; precautions for a better control of intraocular pressure
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors are requested to: - improve the grammar and the English fluency throughout the paper. - explain why they did not compare Ologen with MMC. - clarify why they chose such definitions of success. In fact, these are not the same previously used in literature. - make hypotheses for the lower success rate in phacotrab compared to trab? Is the postoperative inflammation (see Discussion and Conclusion section) a likely reason?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- One or two tables would improve the readability of the paper and the understanding of the results.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barbara Giambene
Department, University & Country	SOD Oculistica – AOUC - University of Firenze, Italy