



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_17957
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytomegalovirus retinitis: current state in people with HIV-AIDS in Peru
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	How long was IV ganciclovir given and at what dose? (e.g./total mg/kg) Similar for intravitreal and oral ganciclovir. What were the side-effects of ganciclovir? Particularly liver function and hematological side-effects should be noted. If these data are not available, this must be listed as a limitation of the study. The epidemiologic data of MVR in HIV patients in Peru are critical data that should be published. The missing toxicity/dosage/duration of ganciclovir should be recorded, if possible. These data should be compared with similar and more advanced countries.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Oakland University William Beaumont Hospital School of Medicine, USA