SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJMMR_17702**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Fashioned 25G Cannula for Injection of Heavy Liquid during 23G Vitrectomy for Primary Simple Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Here are my comments on the manuscript: 1) the word lased in line 115 should be replaced by lasered. 2) the fashioned 25 cannula is not efficient for passive aspiration of PFC so we must use the 23G back flush needle which is used for Injection of PFC in 23G Vitrectomy. 3) in the patient and methods section, there is 2 different periods of study. the 1st is from June 2013 to October 2013, the 2nd in from April 2012 to December 2012. Which is true? 4) the shaft of fashioned cannula is not perfectly straight so this must lead to some turbulence and some resistance.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Sameh Mohamed Elgouhary**
Department, University & Country	**Menoufia college of medicine, Egypt**