



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_OR_17749
Title of the Manuscript:	A clinical sign for aiding the diagnosis of unilateral orbital fat herniation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1- I have read with interest your manuscript. 2- Abstract, line 4= Instead of the word" Sub-conjunctival" you should use Subconjunctival. 3- you should control your keywords from www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MBrowser.html. (especially prolapsed) - 4-8 keywords should be given. Authors have cited only three. 4- Introduction line 10= Instead of the word" intra-conal" you should use intraconal. 5- Case report: line 26 = remove comma after the word "subconjunctival," 6- Line 27 Instead of (fig 1)you should use (Figure 1) 7- Line 30 Instead of (fig 2a and b)you should use (Figure 2a and b) 8- Discussion line 34= Instead of the word" intra-orbita" you should use intraorbita. 9- Discussion line 34= you can add dacryops in the differential diagnosis of Supero-temporal subconjunctival masses. 10- Discussion line 50,52= Instead of the word" intra-conal" you should use intraconal. And extraconal 11- FIGURES LINE 83-85 Instead of fig -2 you should use Figure 1 and Figure 2	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	12- Figure 2 should be clearer 13- All of the references should be revised references should follow the reference style: <u>For Published paper</u>: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahmi Duman
Department, University & Country	Department of Ophthalmology, Dr. Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Oncology Training and Research Hospital, 06105, Yenimahalle, Ankara, Turkey