

The classical mechanics from the quantum equation

Piero Chiarelli*

National Council of Research of Italy, Area of Pisa, 56124 Pisa, Moruzzi 1, Italy

and

Interdepartmental Center "E.Piaggio" University of Pisa

ABSTRACT

This work shows that the stochastic generalization of the quantum hydrodynamic analogy (QHA) has its corresponding stochastic Schrödinger equation (SSE) as happens for the deterministic limit. The analysis shows that in presence of spatially distributed noise the quantum behavior is maintained on a distance shorter than the correlation length ($\lambda_{\rm C}$) of fluctuations of the modulus of the wave function and that the SSE comprehends the standard one when $\lambda_{\rm C}$ tends to infinity respect to the scale of the problem.

The model shows that the term responsible of the non-local interaction into the Schrödinger equation may have a finite range of efficacy maintaining its non-local effect on a finite distance λ_L ("quantum non-locality length"). In the case, when the classical limit is approached, the model shows that the dynamics can be described by a non-linear SSE at the glance with the current theoretical outputs. In particular, the work shows that the semi-empirical Gross-Pitaevskii equation can be derived by the SSE.

Keywords: Quantum hydrodynamic analogy, quantum to classical transition; quantum decoherence; quantum dissipation; noise suppression; open quantum systems; quantum dispersive phenomena; quantum irreversibility

1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of classical behavior from a quantum system is a problem of interest in many branches of physics. The incompatibility between the quantum and classical mechanics comes mainly from the non local character of the quantum mechanics. From the empirical point of view, it is widely accepted that fluctuations may destroy quantum coherence and elicit the emergence of the classical behavior. By using the alternative approach of the quantum hydrodynamic analogy (QHA) [1] in this paper we investigate how the fluctuations influence the quantum non locality and possibly lead to the large-scale classical evolution.

The motivation of using the QHA relies in the fact that it owns a classical-like structure that makes it suitable for the achievement of a comprehensive understanding of quantum and classical phenomena. The suitability of the classical-like theories in explaining open quantum phenomena is a matter of fact and is confirmed by their success in the description of the

E-mail address: pchiare@ifc.cnr.it

^{*} Tel.: +39-050-315-2359; fax: +39-050-315-2166.

dispersive effects in semiconductors, multiple tunneling, mesocopic and quantum Brownian oscillators, critical phenomena, stochastic Bose-Einstain condensation and in the theoretical regularization procedure of quantum field [2-12]. The interest for the QHA had never interrupted, resulting useful in the numerical solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation [13] and had led to a number of papers and textbooks bringing original contributions to the comprehension of quantum dynamics [14-17]. The problems to which the QHA well applies are those whose scale is larger than that one of small atoms such as chromophore-protein complexes and semi-conducting polymers that are dynamically submitted to environmental fluctuations.

Compared to others classical-like approaches (e.g., the stochastic quantization procedure of Nelson [18] and the mechanics given by Bohm [19]) the QHA has the precious property to be exactly equivalent to the Schrödinger equation and to be free from problems such as the undefined variables of the Bohmian mechanics or the unclear relation between the statistical and the quantum fluctuations as in the Nelson theory. As clearly shown by Tsekov [20], it must be noted that the QHA has not to be confused with the Bohmian mechanics that is more like a mean-field limit of quantum mechanics.

Among the researches to which the present work has useful connections there are: The clarification of the hierarchy between the classical and quantum mechanics [18-20]; the description of mesoscopic system showing quantum-to classical transition [12]; the interplay between fluctuations and quantum coherence [21-25], The achievement of a consistent theory of quantum gravity [10]; The quantum treatment of chaos and irreversibility [21].

2. THEORY: THE SQHA EQUATION OF MOTION

When the noise is a stochastic function of the space, in the quantum hydrodynamic analogy the motion equation is described by the stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) for the spatial density of number of particles n (i.e., the wave function modulus squared (WFMS)), that reads [26]

$$\partial_t \mathbf{n}_{(q,t)} = -\nabla_q \bullet (\mathbf{n}_{(q,t)} q) + \eta_{(q,t,\Theta)} \tag{1}$$

68
$$p = -\nabla_q(V_{(q)} + V_{qu(n)}),$$
 (2)

$$q = \frac{\nabla_q S}{m} = \frac{p}{m}, \tag{3}$$

70
$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t} dt \left(\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu(n)} \right)$$
 (4)

71 where Θ is the amplitude of the spatially distributed noise η whose covariance matrix reads

73 where $G(\lambda)$ is the dimensionless shape of the correlation function of the noise. Moreover,

 $V_{(q)}$ represents the Hamiltonian potential and $V_{qu(n)}$, the so called (non-local) quantum potential [14], that reads

77
$$V_{qu} = -\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\right) n^{-1/2} \nabla_q \cdot \nabla_q n^{1/2}$$

Close to the quantum deterministic limit the WFMS can be developed in a series of approximation $n = n_0 + \Delta n_1 + \Delta n_2 + + \Delta n_n$, where n_0 is the solution of the deterministic

limit [26]. The condition that the fluctuations of the quantum potential $V_{qu(n)}$ do not diverge,

as Θ goes to zero (so that the deterministic limit can be warranted) is implemented by operating on the system of the discrete version of the SPDE (1) whose variable reads

84
$$Y_i = \iiint_{\Delta_i} d^{3n} q \ n_{(q,t)},$$
 (6)

where the space hyper-cell $\Delta_i = [(\mathbf{q}_{(i-1)}, (\mathbf{q}_{(i)})]$ (with $\mathbf{q}_{(i)} - \mathbf{q}_{(i-1)} = \lambda$) is taken around the discrete point $\mathbf{q}_{(i)}$.

In the limit of small Θ , the quantum potential fluctuations can be derived as a function of the fluctuations of the WFMS at the smallest order $n_0 + \Delta n_1$. The results show that, in order to

have the quantum potential energy finite in the fluctuating state, i.e., $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \langle V_{qu}, V_{qu} \rangle$

90 finite, the following conditions must be fulfilled

94 95

96

97

98

99

100 101

91
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} \lambda^{-2} [1 - G_{(\lambda)}] < \infty \tag{7}$$

92
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} \lambda^{-4} \left[1 - G_{(\lambda)} \right]^2 < \infty \tag{8}$$

93
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} \lambda^{-4} [3 + G_{(2\lambda)} - 4G_{(\lambda)}] < \infty$$
 (9)

Relations (7-9) can be easily understood observing that the quantum potential owns a membrane elastic-like behavior where a higher curvature of the WFMS leads to higher energy. The fact that white fluctuations of the WFMS brings to a zero curvature wrinkles of the WFMS (and hence to an infinite quantum potential energy) does not allow the realization of such a condition. The fact that independent fluctuations on smaller and smaller distance are progressively suppressed, means that exists a characteristic distance (let's name λ_c) above which the WFMS fluctuations are restrained in order to maintain the system energy finite.

Developing $G_{(\lambda)}$ in series expansion (for small Θ) as a function of $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}$, where λ_c is

103 analytically defined further on, conditions (7-9) leads to

 $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} G_{(\lambda)} \cong 1 \pm \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}\right)^2 + a_4 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}\right)^4 + \sum_{j=5}^{\infty} a_j \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}\right)^j. \tag{10}$

where without a leaking of generality has been put $a_2 = \pm 1$ by a re-definition of the spatial cell side λ such as $\lambda' = a_2^{-1/2} \lambda$.

In order to obtain a model coherent with (10), holding also for a large-scale approach, the shape of the correlation function can be assumed of the form

110
$$G_{(\lambda)} = \exp[-(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c})^2]. \tag{11}$$

111 $(a_2 = 1 \text{ does not warrant the ergodicity})$. Thence, from (5) we obtain

$$\langle \eta_{(q_{\alpha},t)}, \eta_{(q_{\beta}+\lambda,t+\tau)} \rangle = \underline{\mu} \frac{8m(k\Theta)^{2}}{\pi^{3}\hbar^{2}} exp[-(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{c}})^{2}] \delta(\tau) \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$
 (12)

113 where [26]

112

117

118 119

132

134

137

114
$$\lambda_c = (\frac{\pi}{2})^{3/2} \frac{\hbar}{(2mk\Theta)^{1/2}}$$
 (13)

and where $\underline{\mu}$ is the WFMS mobility form factor that depends by specificity of the considered system [26].

2.1 Quantum non-locality length λ_{L}

120 In addition to the noise correlation function (11), to obtain the large-scale form of equations

- 121 (1-5) we need to investigate the importance of the quantum force $p_{qu} = -\nabla_q V_{qu}$ in (2) in the large-distance limit.
- As shown in reference [26] the relevance of the force generated by the quantum potential (i.e., $|\nabla_q V_{qu}|$) at large distance can be evaluated by the convergence of the integral

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |q^{-1}\nabla_{q}V_{qu}| dq \tag{14}$$

- 126 If the quantum potential force grows less than a constant at large distance so
- that $\lim_{|q|\to\infty} |q^{-1}\nabla_q V_{qu}| \propto |q|^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, the integral (14) converges. In this case,
- the quantum potential range of interaction can be evaluated by the mean weighted distance

129
$$\lambda_{L} = 2 \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} |q^{-1} \frac{dV_{qu}}{dq} | dq}{\lambda_{c}^{-1} |\frac{dV_{qu}}{dq}|_{(q=\lambda_{c})}}.$$
 (15)

130 It is worth noting that for Gaussian-type states (as for linear systems) owing a quadratic quantum potential, so that it holds

133
$$\lim_{|q| \to \infty} |q^{-1}\nabla_q V_{qu}| = Cons \tan t$$

135 λ_L results infinite. In this case, the quantum non-local behavior is also effective on the large scale dynamics.

2.2 Schrödinger equation from the SQHA

140 For $\Theta = 0$ equation (1-3), with the identities

$$q = \frac{\nabla_q S}{m} \tag{16}$$

143 where

144
$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t} dt \left(\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu} \right)$$
 (17)

145 and

147
$$n_{(q,t)} = A^2_{(q,t)}$$
 (18)

can be derived [27] by the system of two coupled differential equations that read

151
$$\partial_t S_{(q,t)} = -V_{(q)} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla_q^2 A_{(q,t)}}{A_{(q,t)}} - \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla_q S_{(q,t)})^2$$
 (19)

153
$$\partial_t A_{(q,t)} = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla_q A_{(q,t)} \cdot \nabla_q S_{(q,t)} - \frac{1}{2m} A \nabla_q^2 S_{(q,t)}$$
 (20)

that for the complex variable

$$\psi_{(q,t)} = A_{(q,t)} exp[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{(q,t)}]$$
 (21)

is equivalent to set to zero the real and imaginary part of the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_q^2 \psi + V_{(q)} \psi \,. \tag{22}$$

For $\Theta \neq 0$ the stochastic equations (1-3) can be obtained by the following system of differential equations

$$\partial_t S_{(q,t)} = -V_{(q)} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla_q^2 A_{(q,t)}}{A_{(q,t)}} - \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla_q S_{(q,t)})^2$$
 (23)

$$\partial_t A_{(q,t)} = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla_q A_{(q,t)} \bullet \nabla_q S_{(q,t)} - \frac{1}{2m} A \nabla_q^2 S_{(q,t)} + A^{-1} \eta_{(q_\alpha, t, \Theta)}$$
 (24)

that for the complex variable (21) are equivalent to the SSE

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_q^2 \psi + V_{(q)} \psi + i \frac{\psi}{|\psi|^2} \eta_{(q_\alpha, t, \Theta)}. \tag{25}$$

173174 **2.3 Limiting dynamics**

175

181

182

183

186

188

191

193

197

176 Generally speaking, given a problem with a physical length ΔL , depending by the two lengths λ_c and λ_L , built-in into the SQHA, various limiting dynamics follow:

1) Non-local deterministic dynamics (i.e., standard quantum mechanics) with $\Delta L << \lambda_c \cup \lambda_L$ (e.g., $\Theta \to 0$). In this case the deterministic QHA and the Schrödinger equation (22) are obtained since

$$\langle \eta_{(q_{\alpha},t)}, \eta_{(q_{\alpha}+\lambda,t+\tau)} \rangle = 0$$
 (26)

184 2) *Non-local quantum-stochastic dynamics*, with $\lambda_c << \Delta L << \lambda_L$ 185 In this case the noise correlation function reads

$$<\eta_{(q_{\alpha},t)},\eta_{(q_{\alpha}+\lambda,t+\tau)}>=\underline{\mu}\,\delta_{\alpha\beta}\,\frac{2k\Theta}{\lambda_{c}}\,\delta(\lambda)\delta(\tau) \tag{27}$$

189 3) Local stochastic dynamics, with $\lambda_c \cup \lambda_L << \Delta L$.

190 Given the condition $\lambda_L << \Delta L$ so that it holds

$$\lim_{|q| \to \infty} |\nabla_q V_{qu(n_0)}| = 0 \tag{28}$$

194 the SPDE of motion acquires the form

195
$$\partial_t \mathbf{n}_{(q,t)} = -\nabla_q \cdot (\mathbf{n}_{(q,t)} q) + \eta_{(q_\alpha,t,\Theta)}$$
 (29)

196 with $<\eta_{(q_{\alpha},t)},\eta_{(q_{\alpha}+\lambda,t+\tau)}>$ given by (27) and

$$\stackrel{\bullet}{q} = \frac{p}{m} = \nabla_q \lim_{\Delta \text{L}/\lambda_L \to \infty} \frac{\nabla_q S}{m} = \nabla_q \{ \lim_{\Delta \text{L}/\lambda_L \to 0} \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_0}^t dt (\frac{p \bullet p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu(n)} - I^*) \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{q} \{ \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt \left(\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - \Delta \right) \} = \frac{p_{cl}}{m} + \frac{\delta p}{m} \cong \frac{p_{cl}}{m}$$
(30)

198 where δp is a small fluctuation of the momentum and

200
$$p_{cl} = -\nabla_q V_{(q)}$$
. (31)

201 In this case, by using the identities (16-18) we can write 202

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S} = -V_{(q)} - \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla_q \mathbf{S})^2 \tag{32}$$

204

$$205 \qquad \partial_{t} A_{(q,t)} = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla_{q} A_{(q,t)} \bullet \nabla_{q} S_{(q,t)} - \frac{1}{2m} A \nabla_{q}^{2} S_{(q,t)} + A^{-1} \eta_{(q_{\alpha},t,\Theta)}$$
 (33)

206

Clearly, it is not possible to obtain the Schrödinger equation by (32-33) since S given by (30) converges to the classical value S_{cl}

209

210
$$S_{cl} = \int_{t_0}^{t} dt \left(\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} \right). \tag{34}$$

211

- Nevertheless, for the wave function $\psi_{(q,t)}$ the classically stochastic equations of motion (32-
- 213 214

$$215 i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\nabla_q^2 \psi - \frac{\psi}{|\psi|} \nabla_q^2 |\psi|) + V_{(q)} \psi + i \frac{\psi}{|\psi|^2} \eta_{(q_\alpha, t, \Theta)}. (35)$$

33) can be cast in a non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) that reads:

216

- The former differential equation describes the evolution of a WFMS owing a classical action
- S_{cl} . Actually, the exact equation is given by (25) while the former one (35) is just a limiting
- one and the formal transformation between them is just intrinsic.
- 220 Alternatively, in order to describe phenomena at the edge between the classical and the
- 221 quantum behavior, a semi-empirical equation for passing from (25) to (35) could be more
- 222 manageable.
- 223 By considering that the when the physical length of the system ΔL is much smaller than the
- quantum non-locality length λ_L , the system is quantum, while when λ_L is very small
- 225 compared to ΔL is classic, it is possible to write

226

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla_q^2\psi - \alpha\frac{\psi}{|\psi|}\nabla_q^2|\psi|) + V_{(q)}\psi + i\frac{\psi}{|\psi|^2}\eta_{(q_\alpha,t,\Theta)}$$
(36)

228

227

- 229 where lpha (a dimensionless quantum-to-classical parameter) at first order in a series
- expansion as a function of the ratio $\frac{\lambda_L}{\Lambda_L}$, reads

231

232
$$\alpha \cong \frac{\frac{\Delta L}{\lambda_L}}{1 + \frac{\Delta L}{\lambda_L}}$$
 (37)

234 In the case when (36) is used to describe a system at the boundary between the quantum

235 and the classical dynamics (i.e.,
$$\frac{\lambda_L}{\Delta I} \approx 1$$
) we have $\alpha \approx \frac{1}{2}$.

236 It is interesting to note that Equation (36) for pseudo-Gaussian states that have the large-237 distance hyperbolic behavior

$$\lim_{|q| \to \infty} |\psi| \propto a^{-1/2} q^{-1} \tag{38}$$

that holds for Lennard-Jones type potentials

$$V_{L-J(q)} = 4V_0 \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^6 \right]$$
 (39)

such as in the ⁴He dimer [28], equation (36) acquires the stochastic form of the Gross-Pitaevskii one [29]

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla_q^2\psi - \frac{a}{4}|\psi|^2\psi) + V_{(q)}\psi + i\frac{\psi}{|\psi|^2}\eta_{(q_\alpha,t,\Theta)}$$
(40)

3. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

 Being $\eta_{(q_\alpha,t,\Theta)}$ a random process with a finite correlation distance λ_c , when the physical length of the problem is much smaller than it, (25) converges to the standard Schrödinger equation comprehending it.

The stochastic generalization (25) is able to describe the classical states since, in non-linear

(weakly bonded) systems, the term $\frac{\psi}{|\psi|} \nabla_q^2 |\psi|$ (that brings the non-local quantum

interaction) may become negligibly small in problems whose scale is much larger than its interaction distance λ_L . The following large-scale limiting classical dynamics is described by the NLSE (35).

The approximated NLSE describing dynamics near the quantum-to-classical transition (36), where the non-local quantum interaction term is progressively subtracted (by the factor α) for hyperbolic large-distance wave function, such as that one of the ⁴He dimer, leads to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation that is well experimentally verified.

From the general point of view the SSE (25) can be helpful in describing at larger extent open quantum systems where the environmental fluctuations and the classical effects start to be relevant.

REFERENCES

273274275276

304 305

306 307

- 1. Madelung, E.: Quanten theorie in hydrodynamische form (Quantum theory in the hydrodynamic form). Z. Phys. 1926; 40, 322-6, German.
- Gardner, C.L.: The quantum hydrodynamic model for semiconductor devices. SIAM J.
 Appl. Math. 1994; 54, 409.
- 279 3. Bertoluzza, S. and Pietra, P.: Space-Frequency Adaptive Approximation for Quantum Hydrodynamic Models. Reports of Institute of Mathematical Analysis del CNR, Pavia, Italy, 1998.
- Jona Lasinio, G., Martinelli, F. and Scoppola, E.: New Approach to the Semiclassical Limit of Quantum Mechanics. Comm. Math. Phys. 1981; 80, 223.
- 284 5. Ruggiero P. and Zannetti, M.: Microscopic derivation of the stochastic process for the quantum Brownian oscillator. Phys. Rev. A. 1983; 28, 987.
- 286 6. Ruggiero P. and Zannetti, M.: Critical Phenomena at *T*=0 and Stochastic Quantization. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1981; 47, 1231;
- Ruggiero P. and Zannetti, M.: Stochastic Description of the Quantum Thermal Mixture.
 Phys. Rev. Lett.1982; 48(15), 963.
- 290 8. Ruggiero P. and Zannetti, M.: Quantum-classical crossover in critical dynamics. Phys. 291 Rev. B. 1983; 27, 3001.
- 9. Breit, J.D., Gupta, S., and Zaks, A.: Stochastic quantization and regularization. Nucl. Phys. B. 1984; 233, 61;
- 10. Bern, Z., Halpern, M.B., Sadun, L. and Taubes, C.: Continuum regularization of QCD. Phys. Lett. 1985;165 B, 151.
- 296 11. F. Ticozzi, M. Pavon, On Time Reversal and Space-Time Harmonic Processes for Markovian Quantum Channels, arXiv: <u>0811.0929</u> [quantum-phys] 2009.
- 298 12. L.M. Morato, S.Ugolini, Stochastic Description of a Bose–Einstein Condensate, Annales Henri Poincaré. 2011;12(8), 1601-1612.
- 300 13. Weiner, J. H. and Askar, A.: Particle Method for the Numerical Solution of the Time-301 Dependent Schrödinger Equation. J. Chem. Phys. 1971; 54, 3534.
- 302 14. R.E. Wyatt,: Quantum wave packet dynamics with trajectories: Application to reactive scattering, J. Chem.Phys, 1999; 111 (10), 4406.
 - 15. D. Bousquet, K. H. Hughes, D. A. Micha, and I. Burghardt, Extended hydrodynamic approach to quantum-classical nonequilibrium evolution I. Theory. J. Chem. Phys. 2001; 134
 - 16. S. W. Derrickson and E. R. Bittner, Thermodynamics of Atomic Clusters Using Variational Quantum Hydrodynamics, J. Phys. Chem. 2007; A, 111, 10345-10352.
- 309 17. R. E. Wyatt, *Quantum Dynamics with Trajectories: Introduction to Quantum Hydrodynamics*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2005.
- 18. Nelson, E.: Derivation of the Schrödinger Equation from Newtonian Mechanics. Phys.
 Rev. 1966; 150, 1079.
- 313 19. Bohm, D. and Vigier J.P.: Model of the causal interpretation of quantum theory in terms 314 of a fluid with irregular fluctuations. Phys. Rev. 96, 1954; 208-16.
- 315 20. Tsekov, R., Bohmian mechanics versus Madelung quantum hydrodynamics, arXiv:0904.0723v8 [quantum-phys] 2011;
- 21. Cerruti, N.R., Lakshminarayan, A., Lefebvre, T.H., Tomsovic, S.: Exploring phase space localization of chaotic eigenstates via parametric variation. Phys. Rev. 2000; E 63, 016208.
- 22. A. Mariano, P. Facchi, and S. Pascazio Decoherence and Fluctuations in Quantum
 Interference Experiments, Fortschr. Phys. 2001; 49,1033-1039.

- 322 23. M. Brune, E. Hagley, J. Dreyer, X. Maıître, A. Maali, C. Wunderlich, J. M. Raimond, and
 323 S. HarocheObserving the Progressive Decoherence of the "Meter" in a Quantum
 324 Measurement, Phys Rev Lett. 1996; 77 24.
- 24. E. Calzetta and B. L. Hu, Quantum Fluctuations, Decoherence of the Mean Field, and
 Structure Formation in the Early Universe, Phys.Rev.D,1995; 52, 6770-6788.
- 25. 5. C., Wang, P., Bonifacio, R., Bingham, J., T., Mendonca, Detection of quantum
 decoherence due to spacetime fluctuations, 37th COSPAR Scientific Assembly. Held
 13-20 July 2008, in Montréal, Canada., p.3390.
- 26. Chiarelli, P., "Can fluctuating quantum states acquire the classical behavior on large scale?" arXiv: 1107.4198 [quantum-phys] 2012.
- 332 27. Weiner, J.H., Statistical Mechanics of Elasticity. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1983, 315-317.
- 28. D., Bressanini, "An accurate and compact wave function for the 4He dimer", EPL, 2011; 96.
 - 29. Gross, E.P. "Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems". Il Nuovo Cimento, 1961; 20 (3), 454–457. doi:10.1007/BF02731494. Pitaevskii, P. P. "Vortex lines in an Imperfect Bose Gas", Soviet Physics JETP, 1961; 13 (2): 451–454.

NOMENCLATURE

336

337

338

339 340

342		
343	n : squared wave function modulus	_l -3
344	S: action of the system	m ⁻¹ I ⁻² t
345	m: mass of structureless particles	m
346	\hbar : Plank's constant	$_{\rm m}$ $_{\rm l}^2$ $_{\rm t}^{-1}$
347	c : light speed	l t ⁻¹
348	k : Boltzmann's constant	m l ² t ⁻² /°K
349	Θ : Noise amplitude	°K
350	H: Hamiltonian of the system	m l ² t ⁻²
351	V: potential energy	m l ² t ⁻²
352	$V_{oldsymbol{q}oldsymbol{u}}$: quantum potential energy	m l ² t ⁻²
353	η : Gaussian noise of WFMS	_l -3 _t -1
354	$\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$: correlation length of squared wave function modulus fluctuations	1
355	λ_L : range of interaction of non-local quantum interaction	1
356	$G(\lambda)$: dimensionless correlation function (shape) of WFMS fluctuations	pure number
357	$\underline{\mu}$: WFMS mobility form factor	m ⁻¹ t I ⁻⁶
358 359	μ = WFMS mobility constant	m ⁻¹ t