<u>5011 u</u>	The classical mechanics from the quantum equation
	Piero Chiarelli*
	National Council of Research of Italy, Area of Pisa, 56124 Pisa, Moruzzi 1, Italy
	and
	Interdepartmental Center "E.Piaggio" University of Pisa

15

This work shows that the stochastic generalization of the quantum hydrodynamic analogy (QHA) has its corresponding stochastic Schrödinger equation (SSE) as similarly happens for the deterministic limit. The SSE owns an imaginary random noise that has a finite correlation distance, so that when the physical length of the problem is much smaller than it, the SSE converges to the standard Schrödinger equation comprehending it. The model shows that in non-linear (weakly bounded) systems, the term responsible of the non-local interaction in the SSE may have a finite range of efficacy maintaining its non-local effect on a finite distance. A non-linear SSE that describes the related large-scale classical dynamics is derived. The work also shows that at the edge between the quantum and the classical regime the SSE can lead to the semi-empirical Gross-Pitaevskii equation.

16

Keywords: Quantum hydrodynamic analogy, quantum to classical transition; quantum
decoherence; quantum dissipation; noise suppression; open quantum systems; quantum
dispersive phenomena; quantum irreversibility

20 21

22

23

24 **1. INTRODUCTION**

25

By using the stochastic generalization of the quantum hydrodynamic analogy (QHA) [1-2] that describes how fluctuations influence the quantum non-locality and possibly lead to the large-scale classical evolution, we derive here the corresponding stochastic Schrödinger equation (SSE) able to describe the classical to quantum transition and to lead to the classical evolution.

The motivation of using the QHA approach to derive the SSE relies in the fact that it owns a classical-like structure that allows the achievement of a comprehensive understanding of quantum and classical phenomena. The QHA well applies to problems whose scale is larger than that one of small atoms, which are dynamically submitted to environmental fluctuations. This is confirmed by its success in the description of chromophore-protein complexes and semi-conducting polymers, dispersive effects in semiconductors, multiple tunneling,

mesocopic and quantum Brownian oscillators, critical phenomena, stochastic Bose-Einstain
 condensation and in the theoretical regularization procedure of quantum field [3-13]. The
 QHA has resulted useful in the numerical solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger

* Tel.: +39-050-315-2359; fax: +39-050-315-2166. E-mail address: pchiare@ifc.cnr.it 40 equation [14] and has led to a number of papers and textbooks bringing original 41 contributions to the comprehension of quantum dynamics [15-18]. Compared to others 42 classical-like approaches (e.g., the stochastic quantization procedure of Nelson [19] and the 43 mechanics given by Bohm [20]) the QHA owns a well defined correspondence with the 44 Schrödinger equation and is free from problems such as the undefined variables of the 45 Bohmian mechanics [21] or the unclear relation between the statistical and the quantum 46 fluctuations as in the Nelson theory.

The present work brings the unitary description of the stochastic quantum hydrodynamic analogy (SQHA) into the Schrödinger approach. The derived SSE owns a theoretical connection with the classical non-linear Schrödinger equation and the Gross-Pitaevskii one showing to be usefully applicable to the problems of quantum-to-classical transition [13], quantum de-coherence [22-26] and quantum treatment of chaos and irreversibility [22].

52 53

54

2. THEORY: THE SQHA EQUATION OF MOTION

55 When the noise is a stochastic function of the space, in the SQHA the motion equation is 56 described by the stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) for the spatial density of 57 number of particles n (i.e., the wave function modulus squared (WFMS)), that reads [2]

58
$$\partial_t \mathbf{n}_{(q,t)} = -\nabla_q \cdot (\mathbf{n}_{(q,t)} q) + \eta_{(q,t,\Theta)}$$
 (1)

59
$$<\eta_{(q_{\alpha})},\eta_{(q_{\beta}+\lambda)} >=<\eta_{(q_{\alpha})},\eta_{(q_{\alpha})} > G(\lambda)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$$
 (2)

60
$$p = -\nabla_q (V_{(q)} + V_{qu(n)}),$$
 (3)

61
$$q = \frac{\nabla_q S}{m} = \frac{p}{m},$$
 (4)

62

t

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t} dt \left(\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu(n)} \right)$$
(5)

63 where Θ is the amplitude of the spatially distributed noise η , $V_{(q)}$ represents the 64 Hamiltonian potential and $V_{qu(n)}$ is the so-called (non-local) quantum potential [15] that 65 reads

$$V_{qu} = -(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}) \mathbf{n}^{-1/2} \nabla_q \cdot \nabla_q \mathbf{n}^{1/2}.$$
 (6)

67 Moreover, $G(\lambda)$ is the dimensionless shape of the correlation function of the noise η . 68 The condition that the fluctuations of the quantum potential $V_{au(n)}$ do not diverge, as Θ

69 goes to zero (so that the deterministic limit can be warranted) leads to a $G(\lambda)$ owing the 70 form [2]

71

 $G(\lambda) = exp[-(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c})^2].$ ⁽⁷⁾

This result is a direct consequence of the quantum potential form that owns a membrane elastic-like energy where a higher curvature of the WFMS leads to higher energy. White fluctuations of the WFMS that bring to a zero curvature wrinkles of the WFMS (and hence to an infinite quantum potential energy) are not allowed. The fact that, in order to maintain the system energy finite, independent fluctuations on smaller and smaller distance are progressively suppressed, leads (in the small noise limit) to the existence of a correlation distance (let's name it λ_c) for the noise.

- r_{c} of the number of the
- 79 Thence, (2) reads [2]

 $\lambda_c =$

80
$$<\eta_{(q_{\alpha},t)},\eta_{(q_{\beta}+\lambda,t+\tau)} >= \underline{\mu} \frac{8m(k\Theta)^{2}}{\pi^{3}\hbar^{2}} exp[-(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{c}})^{2}]\delta(\tau)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$$
(8)

81 where

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\hbar}{\left(2mk\Theta\right)^{1/2}}$$
 (9)

83 84 85

89

and where μ is the WFMS mobility form factor that depends by the specificity of the considered system [2].

2.2 Schrödinger equation from the SQHA 87

88 For $\Theta = 0$ equations (1-5), with the identities

$$\stackrel{\bullet}{q} = \frac{\nabla_q S}{m} \tag{10}$$

90 where

91
$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t} dt \left(\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu} \right)$$
(11)

92 and

93
$$n_{(q,t)} = A^2_{(q,t)}$$
 (12)

94 can be derived [27] by the system of two coupled differential equations that read

95
$$\partial_t S_{(q,t)} = -V_{(q)} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla_q^2 A_{(q,t)}}{A_{(q,t)}} - \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla_q S_{(q,t)})^2$$
 (13)

96
$$\partial_t A_{(q,t)} = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla_q A_{(q,t)} \cdot \nabla_q S_{(q,t)} - \frac{1}{2m} A \nabla_q^2 S_{(q,t)}$$
 (14)

97 that for the complex variable

98
$$\Psi_{(q,t)} = A_{(q,t)} exp[\frac{1}{\hbar} S_{(q,t)}]$$
 (15)

are equivalent to set to zero the real and imaginary part of the Schrödinger equation

100
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla_q^2\psi + V_{(q)}\psi. \qquad (16)$$

101 For $\Theta \neq 0$ the stochastic equations (1-5) can be obtained by the following system of 102 differential equations

103
$$\partial_t S_{(q,t)} = -V_{(q)} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla_q^2 A_{(q,t)}}{A_{(q,t)}} - \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla_q S_{(q,t)})^2$$
(17)

104
$$\partial_t A_{(q,t)} = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla_q A_{(q,t)} \bullet \nabla_q S_{(q,t)} - \frac{1}{2m} A \nabla_q^2 S_{(q,t)} + A^{-1} \eta_{(q_\alpha, t, \Theta)}$$
(18)

105 which for the complex variable (15) are equivalent to the SSE

106
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla_q^2\psi + V_{(q)}\psi + i\frac{\psi}{|\psi|^2}\eta_{(q_\alpha,t,\Theta)}.$$
 (19)

107 2.3 Large-scale local non-linear Schrödinger equation

109 In addition to the noise correlation function (7), in the large-distance limit, it is also important

- 110 to know the behavior of the quantum force $p_{qu} = -\nabla_q V_{qu}$.
- 111 The relevance of the force generated by the quantum potential at large distance can be 112 evaluated by the convergence of the integral [2]

113
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |q^{-1}\nabla_{q}V_{qu}| dq$$
 (20)

114 If the quantum potential force grows less than a constant at large distance so

115 that $\lim_{|q|\to\infty} |q^{-1}\nabla_q V_{qu}| \approx |q|^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, the integral (20) converges. In this case,

116 the mean weighted distance

117
$$\lambda_{L} = 2 \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} |q^{-1} \frac{dV_{qu}}{dq}| dq}{\lambda_{c}^{-1} |\frac{dV_{qu}}{dq}|_{(q=\lambda_{c})}},$$
(21)

118

108

119 can evaluate the quantum potential range of interaction. 120 Faster the Hamiltonian potential grows, more localized is the WFMS and hence stronger is the quantum potential. For the linear interaction, the Gaussian-type eigenstates leads to a 121 quadratic quantum potential and, hence, to a linear quantum force, so that 122 $\lim_{x \to \infty} |q^{-1}\nabla_a V_{au}| \propto constant$ and λ_L diverges. Therefore, in order to have λ_L finite (so that 123 the large-scale classical limit can be achieved) we have to deal with a system of particles 124 interacting by a weaker than the linear interaction. 125 In the following, we derive local limiting dynamics for the SSE with $\, \lambda_c \cup \, \lambda_L \,{<<}\, \Delta {
m L}$. 126 Given the condition $\lambda_L << \Delta L$ so that it holds 127 128

129
$$\lim_{|q|\to\infty} |\nabla_q V_{qu(n_0)}| = 0$$

130

131 and $\lambda_c << \Delta L$, by which (11) reads [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{q} &= \frac{p}{m} = \lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_{c} \to 0} \lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_{L} \to \infty} \frac{\nabla_{q}S}{m} = \nabla_{q} \{ \lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_{c} \to 0} \lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_{L} \to 0} \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt (\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu(n)}) \} \\ &= \nabla_{q} \{ \lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_{c} \to 0} \lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_{L} \to 0} \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt (\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu(n)} - (V_{qu(n)} - V_{qu(n)})) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$=\frac{1}{m}\nabla_{q}\{\int_{t_{0}}^{t}dt\,(\frac{p \cdot p}{2m}-V_{(q)}-\lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_{c}\to 0}(V_{qu(n)}-V_{qu(n_{0})}))\}=\frac{p_{cl}}{m}+\frac{\delta p}{m}\cong\frac{p_{cl}}{m}$$
(23)

134 where, δp is a small fluctuation of the momentum, since

135
$$\lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_c \to 0} (V_{qu(n)} - V_{qu(n_0)}) = \lim_{\Theta \to 0} (V_{qu(n)} - V_{qu(n_0)}) = 0,$$

136 and

137
$$p_{cl} = -\nabla_q V_{(q)},$$
 (24)

139
$$\partial_t S = -V_{(q)} - \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla_q S)^2$$
 (25)

140
$$\partial_t A_{(q,t)} = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla_q A_{(q,t)} \bullet \nabla_q S_{(q,t)} - \frac{1}{2m} A \nabla_q^2 S_{(q,t)} + A^{-1} \eta_{(q_{\alpha}, t, \Theta)}$$
(26)

141 Where S given by (23) converges to the classical value
$$S_{cl}$$
 and where

142
$$\lim_{\Delta L/\lambda_{c} \to 0} < \eta_{(q_{\alpha}, t)}, \eta_{(q_{\alpha}+\lambda, t+\tau)} >= \underline{\mu} \, \delta_{\alpha\beta} \, \frac{2k\Theta}{\lambda_{c}} \, \delta(\lambda) \, \delta(\tau)$$
(27)

143 For the wave function $\psi_{(q,t)}$ the classically stochastic equations of motion (25-26) can be 144 cast in a non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) that reads:

145
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla_q^2\psi - \frac{\psi}{|\psi|}\nabla_q^2|\psi|) + V_{(q)}\psi + i\frac{\psi}{|\psi|^2}\eta_{(q_{\alpha},t,\Theta)}.$$
 (28)

146 2.4 Semi-empirical non-linear Schrödinger equation for quantum-to-classical 147 transition

148

Actually, the exact equation is given by (19) while the former one (28) is just a limiting one and the formal transformation between them is intrinsic. Alternatively to (19), in order to describe phenomena at the edge between the classical and
the quantum behavior, a semi-empirical equation for passing from (19) to (28) could be more
manageable.

By considering that the when the physical length of the system ΔL is much smaller than the quantum non-locality length λ_L , the system is quantum, while when λ_L is very small compared to ΔL is classic, it is possible to write

157
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla_q^2\psi - \alpha\frac{\psi}{|\psi|}\nabla_q^2|\psi|) + V_{(q)}\psi + i\frac{\psi}{|\psi|^2}\eta_{(q_\alpha,t,\Theta)}$$
(29)

158 where α (a dimensionless quantum-to-classical parameter) at first order in a series 159 expansion as a function of the ratio $\frac{\lambda_L}{\lambda_1}$, reads

$$\alpha \simeq \frac{\frac{\Delta L}{\lambda_L}}{1 + \frac{\Delta L}{\lambda_L}}$$
(30)

161 In the case when (29) is used to describe a system at the boundary between the quantum

162 and the classical dynamics (i.e.,
$$\frac{\lambda_L}{\Delta L} \approx 1$$
) we have $\alpha \approx \frac{1}{2}$

163 It is interesting to note that Equation (29) for pseudo-Gaussian states that have the large-164 distance hyperbolic behavior

165
$$\lim_{|q| \to \infty} |\psi| \propto a^{-1/2} q^{-1}$$
(31)

166 that holds for Lennard-Jones type potentials

167
$$V_{L-J(q)} = 4V_0 \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^6 \right]$$
(32)

such as in the ⁴He dimer [28], equation (29) acquires the stochastic form of the Gross Pitaevskii one [29]

170
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla_q^2\psi - \frac{a}{4}|\psi|^2\psi) + V_{(q)}\psi + i\frac{\psi}{|\psi|^2}\eta_{(q_\alpha,t,\Theta)}$$
(33)

171 3. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

172

160

173 Being $\eta_{(q_{\alpha},t,\Theta)}$ a random process with a finite correlation distance λ_c , when the physical 174 length of the problem is much smaller than it, (19) converges to the standard Schrödinger 175 equation comprehending it. 176 The stochastic generalization (19) is able to describe the classical states since, in non-linear 177 (weakly bounded) systems, the term $\frac{\psi}{|\psi|} \nabla_q^2 |\psi|$ (that brings the non-local quantum 178 interaction) may become negligibly small in problems whose scale is much larger than its

179	inte	raction distance λ_L . The following large-scale limiting classical dynamics is described by			
180	the	NLSE (28).			
181	The approximated NLSE describing dynamics near the quantum-to-classical transition (29).				
182	where the non-local quantum interaction term is progressively subtracted (by the factor α)				
100	for	hyperbolic large distance were function, such as that one of the $4H_2$ dimer, leads to the			
100		Diffequely and the tige wave function, such as that one of the time internet and the second time.			
104		m the general point of view the SSE (10) can be helpful in describing at larger extent			
100		In the general point of view the SSE (19) can be helpful in describing at larger extent			
100	tobe	an quantum systems where the environmental nucluations and the classical effects start			
10/					
100					
109	пг				
190	ΠC	rerences			
191					
192	1.	Madelung, E.: Quanten theorie in hydrodynamische form (Quantum theory in the			
193		hydrodynamic form). Z. Phys. 1926; 40, 322-6, German.			
194	2.	Chiarelli, P., "Can fluctuating quantum states acquire the classical behavior on large			
195		scale?" arXiv: <u>1107.4198</u> [quantum-phys] 2012.			
196	3.	Gardner, C.L.: The quantum hydrodynamic model for semiconductor devices. SIAM J.			
197		Appl. Math. 1994; 54, 409.			
198	4.	Bertoluzza, S. and Pietra, P.: Space-Frequency Adaptive Approximation for Quantum			
199		Hydrodynamic Models. Reports of Institute of Mathematical Analysis del CNR, Pavia,			
200		Italy, 1998.			
201	5.	Jona Lasinio, G., Martinelli, F. and Scoppola, E.: New Approach to the Semiclassical			
202		Limit of Quantum Mechanics. Comm. Math. Phys. 1981; 80, 223.			
203	6.	Ruggiero P. and Zannetti, M.: Microscopic derivation of the stochastic process for the			
204		quantum Brownian oscillator. Phys. Rev. A. 1983; 28, 987.			
205	7.	Ruggiero P. and Zannetti, M.: Critical Phenomena at <i>T</i> =0 and Stochastic Quantization.			
206		Phys. Rev. Lett. 1981: 47, 1231:			
207	8.	Ruggiero P. and Zannetti, M.: Stochastic Description of the Quantum Thermal Mixture.			
208	•	Phys. Rev. Lett. 1982: 48(15), 963.			
209	9.	Ruggiero P. and Zannetti, M.: Quantum-classical crossover in critical dynamics. Phys.			
210	•	Rev. B. 1983: 27, 3001.			
211	10	Breit J.D. Gupta S and Zaks A: Stochastic quantization and regularization Nucl			
212		Phys B 1984: 233 61			
213	11	Bern Z Halpern M.B. Sadun L and Taubes C. Continuum regularization of OCD			
214		Phys Lett 1985:165 B 151			
215	12	F Ticozzi M Payon On Time Beversal and Space-Time Harmonic Processes for			
216	12.	Markovian Quantum Channels, arXiv: 0811.0929 [quantum-phys] 2009			
210	13	I M Morato S Ugolini Stochastic Description of a Bose-Finstein Condensate Annales			
217	10.	Honri Poincaró 2011:12/8) 1601-1612			
210	14	Weiner I H and Ackar A: Particle Method for the Numerical Solution of the Time			
219	14.	Dependent Sobrödinger Equation I Chem Phys 1071; 54 2524			
220	15	Dependent Schrödinger Equation. J. Chem. Frys. 1971, 54, 5554.			
221	15.	n.E. Wyall, Qualitum wave packet dynamics with trajectories. Application to reactive			
222	16	Scallening, J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 111 (10), 4406.			
223	16.	D. Bousquel, K. H. Hugnes, D. A. Micha, and I. Burghardi, Extended hydrodynamic			
224		approach to quantum-classical nonequilibrium evolution I. Theory, J. Chem. Phys. 2001;			
225	47	134. Q. W. Derviekeen and E. D. Ditter Thermodynamics of Alexa's Obstantial in the			
226	17.	5. vv. Derrickson and E. H. Bittner, I nermodynamics of Atomic Clusters Using			
227	40	variational Quantum Hydrodynamics, J. Phys. Chem. 2007; A, 111, 10345-10352.			
228	<mark>18</mark> .	R. E. Wyatt, Quantum Dynamics with Trajectories: Introduction to Quantum			
229		Hydrodynamics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2005.			

230	19.	Nelson, E.: Derivation of the Schrödinger Equation from Newtonia	in Mechanics. Phys.	
231	~~	Rev. 1966; 150, 10/9.	- t	
232	20. Bonm, D. and Vigier J.P.: Model of the causal interpretation of quantum theory in term of a fluid with irregular fluctuations. Phys. Rev. 96, 1954; 208-16			
234	21	Tsekov B Bohmian mechanics versus Madelung quantu	m hydrodynamics	
235	21.	arXiv:0904.0723v8 [quantum-phys] 2011;	in nyaroaynamios,	
236	22.	Cerruti, N.R., Lakshminarayan, A., Lefebvre, T.H., Tomsovic, S.: Exp	ploring phase space	
237		localization of chaotic eigenstates via parametric variation. Phys. Re	v. 2000; E 63,	
238		016208.		
239	23.	A. Mariano, P. Facchi, and S. Pascazio Decoherence and Fluctuatio	ns in Quantum	
240		Interference Experiments, Fortschr. Phys. 2001; 49,1033-1039.		
241	24.	M. Brune, E. Hagley, J. Dreyer, X. Maı ⁻ tre, A. Maali, C. Wunderlich,	J. M. Raimond, and	
242		S. HarocheObserving the Progressive Decoherence of the "Meter" in	n a Quantum	
243		Measurement, Phys Rev Lett. 1996; 77 24.		
244	25.	E. Calzetta and B. L. Hu, Quantum Fluctuations, Decoherence of th	e Mean Field, and	
245		Structure Formation in the Early Universe, Phys.Rev.D,1995; 52, 67	70-6788.	
246	26.	5. C., Wang, P., Bonifacio, R., Bingham, J., T., Mendonca, Detection	of quantum	
247		decoherence due to spacetime fluctuations, 37th COSPAR Scientific	Assembly. Held	
248		13-20 July 2008, in Montréal, Canada., p.3390.		
249	27.	Weiner, J.H., Statistical Mechanics of Elasticity. John Wiley & Sor	ns, New York, 1983,	
250		315-317.		
251	28.	D., Bressanini, "An accurate and compact wave function for the 4He	e dimer", EPL, 2011;	
252		96.		
253	29.	Gross, E.P. "Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems". Il Ni	uovo Cimento, 1961;	
254		20 (3), 454–457. doi:10.1007/BF02731494. Pitaevskii, P. P. "Vortex	lines in an Imperfect	
255		Bose Gas", Soviet Physics JETP, 1961; 13 (2): 451–454.		
200				
258	NC			
259	ne			
260	n:	squared wave function modulus	l-3	
261	s.	action of the system	m-1 I-2 t	
262	m ·	mass of structureless particles	m	
263	ħ.	· Plank's constant	m l ² t ⁻¹	
264	с.	light speed	11t-1	
265	k.	Boltzmann's constant	$m ^2 t^{-2/9K}$	
266	ω.	Noise amplitude	°K	
267	с. ц.	Hamiltonian of the system	m 12 +-2	
268	V	notential energy	m 2 t-2	
200	v.			
269	Vq	y: quantum potential energy		
270	η :	Gaussian noise of <mark>WFMS</mark>	$1^{-3} t^{-1}$	
271	λ_{c}	correlation length of squared wave function modulus fluctuations	I	
272	λ_L	range of interaction of non-local quantum interaction	I	
273	G()	ℓ) : dimensionless correlation function (shape) of WFMS fluctuations	pure number	
274	<u>μ</u> :	WFMS mobility form factor	m ⁻¹ tl ⁻⁶	
275	μ=	WFMS mobility constant	m ⁻¹ t	