SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Review & Research International
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2012 PRRI 2808**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Spectroscopic properties of HALS doped polycarbonate by fluorescence spectroscopy**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Some points need to be definitely clarified/explained, specifically:** 1) What about sample compositional homogeneity when PC is processed with additives Tinuvin 770 and Tinuvin 123? 2) It is said that UV irradiation of samples was carried out for 48 h with UV light flux fixed at 765 W/m^2 (dose 4230 kJ/m^2 : please check the dose value) and the sample temperature fixed at 65 ^{o}C. Are there any check regarding temperature evolution of samples under irradiation ? 3) What about the optical properties evolution of samples (Figs. 3-6) as function of the UV irradiation time of previous point 2 ? 4) In equation 1) it is necessary to justify the use of the exponent 2, a value that depends on the nature of the transition and related materials kind (especially for doped materials). 5) The English language must be improved.	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous Reviewer**
Department, University & Country	