SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



Authors' response to final evaluator's comments

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Review & Research International
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_PRRI 2898
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantum Effects on Rayleigh-Taylor instability of a plasma-vacuum.

PART 2:

IANI 2.	
FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	
I expected to author to write the response in more specific way. The improvement	
of the manuscript is very good. But still some comments are not response well. The	
authors simply mention that the comments have been considered/ done, but they do	
not respond properly. Before I recommend the paper to publish in Physical Review	
& Research International (PRRI), author should response the followings (especially	
1 st comment) –	
1. Author should explain in details-	

- c. How the present results are significantly different from previous work?
- 4. Some notification used without its definition, like σ and k. Author should explain ' ρ is the density, p thermal pressure, g is the gravitational acceleration' of what?

In addition with-

- 1. Author may not define q_1 again after Eq. (47) as it has already done in Eq. (43).
- 2. Definition of m_e and m_i in Eq. (14) also missing.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Masum Haider
Department, University & Country	Department of Physics, Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University, Bangladesh

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)