

Thermal Stability and T_g Characteristics of GeTeSb Glasses

Abdelhamid Badaoui^{1*}, Maamar Belhadji^{1,2}

¹Laboratoire de Chimie des Polymères, LCP, Es-Senia University, Oran, 31000, Algeria

²Physics Department, Es-Senia University, Oran, 31000, Algeria

ABSTRACT

Important physical properties, such as coordination number and mean bond energy of Te based chalcogenide system (GeTeSb) are studied for different Te contents, each one with different compositions when replacing Ge atoms with Sb ones. We found that these properties decrease with increasing Sb contents, and hence with decrease of average coordination number. The thermal stability of the system is evaluated according to Dietzel criterion ΔT . The glass transition temperature T_g is then deduced with a suitable proportionality with the mean bond energy and compared to experiment values. This glass temperature is also found to be related with linear function to the average coordination number according to Gibbs-DiMarzio modified equation and the parameters T_0 and β are determined.

Keywords: DSC, Chalcogenide glasses, GeTeSb, coordination number, average bond energy, glass transition temperature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chalcogenide glasses have become with great interest and widely studied by many authors as they show electrical and optical properties making them useful for several potential applications in view of fabrication of various solid state devices [1,2]. They exhibit threshold and memory switching behaviour as well as infrared transmission. They have been also applied in xerography [3], photolithography [4] and in the fabrication of inexpensive solar cells [5]. New applications of amorphous materials such as image sensors, thermoelectric properties and optical recording [6] have become realisable due to recent progress in preparation techniques. The properties of chalcogenide materials such optical, electrical and physical properties can be controlled by changing their chemical composition [7]. When doped with metal impurities, these materials become multipurpose by the modification of their properties [8]. Multicomponent glasses are more attracting as they are more useful for many of these applications [9,10]. The binary GeTe alloys are phase change ovonic materials and exhibit switching properties [11]. The ternary system GeTeSb has been highly used in phase change memories.

In this paper, some physical properties such as coordination number, mean bond energy and thermal stability are investigated for GeTeSb system alloys with different Te contents, each one with different compositions. The effect of antimony addition is discussed, a

theoretical deduction of the glass transition temperature is proposed and the values are compared to experimental results.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The preparation of alloys was in two steps. First the three elements (99.999 % purity) were weighted in suitable quantities and introduced in a quartz ampoule and sealed in vacuum of 10^{-5} Pa. Then, the ampoules were placed in a horizontally rotating oven and annealed at 1000 °C for 3 hours. Finally, the ampoules were quenched into ice-cold water to avoid crystallization. After breaking the quartz ampoules, amorphous nature of these alloys were verified by X-Ray diffraction (XRD) technique. The XRD spectra (not shown) do not contain any prominent peak, which confirms the amorphous nature of the samples.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1 shows the DSC thermogram for $\text{Ge}_{14.5}\text{Te}_{84.5}\text{Sb}_1$ glassy alloy under non-isothermal condition as an example. The presence of two T_g and two T_c peaks is evident (same remark for all 84.5% at Te) and points to a phase separation in the glassy system. For the other compositions of Te there is a single glassy phase (not shown). The characteristic temperatures are listed in Table 1.




Fig. 1. Differential thermal analysis of $\text{Ge}_{14.5}\text{Te}_{84.5}\text{Sb}_1$ at rate of 10 °C

The average coordination number is evaluated according to the standard procedure requiring the knowledge of the coordination of all the elements of the glassy alloy [12,13]. For the considered system $\text{Ge}_x\text{Te}_y\text{Sb}_z$, it is given by:

$$n = \frac{xN_{\text{Ge}} + yN_{\text{Te}} + zN_{\text{Sb}}}{x + y + z} \quad (1)$$

where x, y and z are atomic fractions of Ge, Te and Sb respectively and $N_{Ge} = 4$, $N_{Te} = 2$ and $N_{Sb} = 3$ are their respective coordination numbers. The calculated values of the average coordination number are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Glass compositions, average coordination number, measured glass transition and crystallization temperatures, Dietzel temperature interval, mean bond energy and theoretical glass transition temperature.

<i>Composition</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>T_{gexp} (K)</i>	<i>T_c (K)</i>	<i>ΔT (K)</i>	<i><E> (KJ/mol)</i>	<i><E> (eV)</i>	<i>T_{gtheo} (K)</i>
<i>Ge₂₀Te₈₀</i>	2.40	420	488	68	164.82	1.710	416.7
<i>Ge₁₇Te₈₀Sb₃</i>	2.37	404	479	75	161.21	1.673	405.2
<i>Ge₁₅Te₈₀Sb₅</i>	2.35	393	455	62	158.83	1.648	397.5
<i>Ge₁₈Te₈₂</i>	2.36	-	-	-	160.72	1.668	403.7
<i>Ge₁₇Te₈₂Sb₁</i>	2.35	400	473	73	159.56	1.656	399.9
<i>Ge₁₆Te₈₂Sb₂</i>	2.34	396	470	74	158.40	1.644	396.2
<i>Ge₁₅Te₈₂Sb₃</i>	2.33	392	458	66	157.45	1.634	393.1
<i>Ge₁₄Te₈₂Sb₄</i>	2.32	388	447	59	156.26	1.622	389.4
<i>Ge₁₃Te₈₂Sb₅</i>	2.31	386	438	52	154.98	1.608	385.0
<i>Ge₁₆Te₈₄</i>	2.32	-	-	-	156.90	1.628	391.2
<i>Ge₁₅Te_{84.5}Sb_{0.5}</i>	2.305	393	447	54	155.44	1.613	386.6
<i>Ge₁₄Te_{84.5}Sb_{1.5}</i>	2.295	387	441	54	154.34	1.602	383.2
<i>Ge₁₃Te_{84.5}Sb_{2.5}</i>	2.285	381	433	52	153.25	1.590	379.4


It is seen, from Table 1, that the average coordination number values decrease for each Te composition, with increasing Sb content. This behavior is caused by the substitution of Ge atoms (from group IV) with Sb ones (from group V).

From the characteristic temperatures, we estimated the thermal stability of the system using Dietzel criterion $\Delta T = T_c - T_g$ [14]. According to this criterion, the kinetic resistance to

crystallization increases with increasing ΔT . This value gives an indication of the thermal stability of the glassy system, a small value of ΔT means that the glass contains structural units with high crystallization tendency and vice versa. From Table 1 and Fig. 2, we can see that glass alloy compositions Ge17Te80Sb3 gives the most stable glass with the maximum value of ΔT . The presence of maximum in ΔT values can be understood on the basis of Phillips-Thorpe [12,15-18] constraint theory for glasses with covalent bonds. According to this theory, for alloy compositions having high glass forming ability and where only short range order structures are considered, the number of degrees of freedom, N_d in a covalently bonded glass exhausts the number of constraints, N_{ca} due to bond stretching, and bond bending N_{cb} , with $N_c = N_{ca} + N_{cb}$. The condition $N_c = N_d$, leaded Philips to conclude that the stability of the network having the critical coordination number $n = 2.4$ is optimised. Thorpe [16] found the same result by counting the number of zero-frequency modes. He showed that undercoordinated networks would possess a finite fraction of zero-frequency modes in the absence of the weaker longer range forces. The number of zero-frequency mode per atom is given by:

$$f = 2 - \frac{5}{6}n \quad (2)$$

103
 104 This number approaches zero as n approaches the critical value of 2.4, where there is a
 105 phase transition from underconstrained floppy network to overconstrained rigid network
 106 having maximum stability. We consider then that the Phillips'-Thorpe threshold is realized for
 107 the investigated system.



108
 109 **Fig. 2. Dietzel temperature interval versus average coordination number for the**
 110 **different ternary compositions**
 111

112 In the other hand, a linear correlation between the glass transition temperature and the
 113 overall mean bond energy $\langle E \rangle$ of the glassy network is observed for many chalcogenide
 114 systems. The mean bond energy is calculated using the covalent bond approach (CBA) [19],
 115 which is given by:

116
 117
$$\langle E \rangle = E_{cl} + E_{rm} \quad (3)$$

118
 119 where E_{cl} is the overall contribution towards bond energy arising from strong bonds, and
 120 E_{rm} is the contribution arising from weaker bonds that remain after the number of strong
 121 bonds becomes maximum.
 122 For the investigated system $\text{Ge}_x\text{Te}_y\text{Sb}_z$:

123
 124
$$E_{cl} = 4xD_{\text{Ge-Te}} + 3zD_{\text{Sb-Te}} \quad (4)$$

125
 126 where 4, x, 3 and z correspond to the coordination number and atomic concentration of Ge
 127 and Sb atoms respectively. The heteronuclear bond energy is calculated using the relation
 128 given by Pauling [20]:

129
 130
$$D_{A-B} = (D_{A-A} \times D_{B-B})^{0.5} + 30(\chi_A - \chi_B)^2 \quad (5)$$

131
 132 where, χ_A , χ_B , D_{A-A} and D_{B-B} are respectively the electronegativity and the homonuclear
 133 bond energies of A and B atoms. The average bond energy per atom of the remaining matrix
 134 is given by:

135

$$136 \quad E_{rm} = \left(1 - \frac{4x-3z}{2}n\right) D_{Te-Te} \quad (6)$$

137 The calculated values of the mean bond energy $\langle E \rangle$ are listed in Table 1. It's understood
 138 that $\langle E \rangle$ is influenced by the degree of cross-linking, the bond energy, the average
 139 coordination number and the bond type, and all these factors influence the glass transition
 140 temperature of the glassy system.

141 The later, is considered as one of the most important parameters used for characterizing a
 142 glassy state and can be theoretically calculated using different methods [21]. For many
 143 chalcogenide systems, this glass transition temperature T_g is found to be proportional to the
 144 mean bond energy value of the covalent glassy network [19, 22-24]. For our system, we
 145 proposed a theoretical deduction to estimate this parameter in units of eV:

146

$$147 \quad T_{gtheo} = 311(\langle E \rangle - 0.37) \quad (7)$$

148

149 From Fig. 3, it's interesting to observe that the glass transition temperature depends linearly
 150 to the average coordination number when it's examined in totality for all the compositions.
 151 This observation is in accordance with the modified Gibbs-DiMarzio [25] equation:

152

$$153 \quad T_g = \frac{T_0}{1 - \beta(n-2)} \quad (8)$$

154

155 where T_0 is the glass transition temperature of the non-cross linked initial chain, β a system
 156 parameter and n the average coordination number. Eq. (9) can be expressed for high
 157 chalcogene limit as:

158

$$159 \quad T_g \cong T_0 [1 + \beta(n-2)] \quad (9)$$

160

161 From the linear fit of the plot of T_g versus n (Fig. 3), we deduced the parameters of Eq. (9):

$$162 \quad T_0 = 288.8 K; \quad \beta = 1.096$$

163




Fig. 3. Glass transition temperature versus average coordination number for GeTeSb.

In Table 1, are also listed and compared, the experimental and the theoretical values of the glass transition temperature ($T_{g\text{exp}}$ and $T_{g\text{theo}}$) and it is seen that the values are in good agreement, it is also observed that the calculated values of $\langle E \rangle$, and hence the values of T_g (experimental and theoretical) increase with increasing n . This may be explained on the fact that Sb makes stronger bonds with Ge and weaker bonds with Te, indicating a cross linking of the Ge-Te chains with Sb.

4. CONCLUSION

Thermal stability of the glassy system GeTeSb has been studied with different compositions using the characteristic temperatures, and the results showed a high stability according to Dietzel temperature interval when the average coordination number approaches the critical value of $n = 2.4$, realizing the Phillips'-Thorpe percolation threshold. The mean bond energy is calculated using the covalent bond approach and the glass transition was deduced theoretically using a suitable relation and compared to experiment. This glass transition temperature is found to be depending linearly to the mean bond energy as many other glassy systems. Both mean bond energy and glass transition temperature are found to be in decrease with increase of Sb contents leading to the increase in the average binding strength.

REFERENCES

1. K. Tanaka. Structural phase transitions in chalcogenide glasses. Phys. Rev. B. 1989; 39:1270-1279.
2. B. Seddon. Chalcogenide glasses: A review of their preparation, properties and applications. J. Non-Cryst. Solid. 1985; 184:44-50.
3. J.H. Dessaur, H.E. Clarke. Xerography and Related Processes. Local London, (1965)
4. D.E. Carlson, C.R. Wronski. Amorphous silicon solar cell. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1976; 28:671-673.
5. J. Fusong, M. Okuda. The Effect of Doping on the Erasure Speed and Stability of Reversible Phase-Change Optical Recording Films. Jpn, J. Appl. Phys. 1991; 30:97.
6. Z. Abdel-Khalek Ali, G.H. Adel, A.S. Abd-rbo. Structural and Electrical Properties of the Chalcogenide Glasses $\text{Bi}_{30}\text{Se}_{70-x}\text{Te}_x$. Chalco. Lett. 2009; 6:125-130.

- 200 7. N. Mehta, M.Zulfequar, A. Kumar. Crystallization kinetics of some Se - Te - Ag
201 chalcogenide glasses. J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. 2004; 6:441.
- 202 8. D.V. Harea, I.A. Vasilev, E.P. Colomeico, M. S. Lovu. Persistent photoconductivity in
203 amorphous As_2Se_3 films with Sn impurity. J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. 2003; 5:1115.
- 204 9. H. Feritsche. In: Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors. Ed. J. Tauc, Plenum Press,
205 New York, p.313, (1974).
- 206 10. H. Bushnach, J. Dror, N.J. Croituro. Chalcogenide glasses Ge-Sn-Se, Ge-Se-Te and
207 Ge-Sn-Se-Te for infrared optical fibers. Mater. Res. 1990; 5:1215-1223.
- 208 11. S.R. Ovinsky. Reversible electrical switching phenomena in disordered structures.
209 Phys. Rev. Lett. 1968; 21:1450-1453.
- 210 12. Phillips. Topology of covalent non-crystalline solids I: Short range order in chalcogenide
211 alloys. J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 1979; 34:153-181.
- 212 13. R. Ganesan, A. Srinivasan, K.N. Madhusoodanan, K.S. Sungunni, E.S.R. Gopal.
213 Composition dependence of the glass transition in Ge-Se-Te glasses. Phys. Status
214 (b). 2006; 190:23-26.
- 215 14. Dietzel. Glass Structure and Properties. Glasstech. Ber. 1968; 22:1187.
- 216 15. J.C. Phillips, M.F. Thorpe. Constrain theory, vector percolation and glass formation.
217 Solid State Commun. 1985; 53:699-702.
- 218 16. M. F. Thorpe. Continuous Deformations in Random Networks. J. Non-Cryst. Solids.
219 1983; 57:355-370.
- 220 17. H. He, M. F. Thorpe. Elastic Properties of Glasses. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1985; 54:2107-
221 2110.
- 222 18. M. F. Thorpe. Bulk and Surface Floppy Modes. J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 1995; 182:135-
223 142.
- 224 19. L. Tichy, H. Ticha. Covalent bond approach to the glass-transition temperature of
225 chalcogenide glasses. J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 1995; 189:141-146.
- 226 20. L. Pauling. The nature of Chemical bond. Cornell University Press, New York (1960).
- 227 21. S.A. Fayek, M.R. Balboul, K.H. Marzouk. Optical, electrical and thermal studies on
228 $(\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3)_3-x(\text{As}_2\text{Te}_3)_x$ glasses. Thin Solid Films. 2007; 515:7281-7285.
- 229 22. L. Pauling. The Nature of the Chemical bond VCH. Weinheim; 1976, pp. 80-89.
- 230 23. M. Micoulaut. Network entropy and connectivity: the underlying factors determining
231 compositional trends in the glass-transition temperature. C.R. Chimie. 2002; 5:825-
232 830.
- 233 24. G. Safarini, J.M. Saiter and J. Matthiesen. Thermal stability and percolation threshold of
234 Ge-Se-Fe glasses. Materials Letters. 2007; 61:432-436.
- 235 25. A.N. Sreeram, D.R. Swiler, A.K. Varshneya. Gibbs-DiMarzio Equation to Describe the
236 Glass Transition Temperature Trends in Multicomponent Chalcogenide Glasses. J.
237 Non-Cryst. Solids. 1991; 127:287-297.