

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Thu, May 9, 2013 at 7:15 AM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013_PRR1_3697

I have roughly read the revised paper and reviewers' comments, I think the writing style of this manuscript is somewhat tedious, but the content is acceptable for publication after the following suggestions are compiled:

- (1) Use the same format for citing references, e.g. A [?], or A and B [?] or ABC et al. [?] . It should check and make corrections for the entire manuscript.
- (2) The symbols used in equations should be consistent with their descriptions in the content. In equation (1), the dot sign (multiplication) should be in the middle place, and the italic font of δx , δy , and δz are not the same as dx , dy and dz . Similarly, the meaning of symbol fonts in equation (2) is not the same as described in the content.
- (3) There is an extra "(" in the 10 lines of Section 2.2 Aeromagnetic.
- (4) The title of Figure 6 is missing, and Figure 8 is not in a good position.
- (5) Why Figures 15 and 16 presented before Figure 10?
- (6) There is an extra "<" in REFERENCES 3.

Overall, this manuscript requires a detailed proof reading check by the authors to make sure everything is in good order.

Editor's Details:

Prof. Tienfuan Kerh

National Pingtung University of Science and Technology

Pingtung, Taiwan

Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity